

The Fargues-Fontaine curve and
local Langlands : the case of GL₁
(after Fargues)

Automorphic Project & Research Seminar
(online)

April 2022

Reminder on geometric CFT:

X smooth projective geom-connected curve / \mathbb{F}_q
 $E = \mathbb{F}_q(X)$.
let $A = \prod'_{x \in |X|} \hat{E}_x$, $O = \prod_{x \in |X|} \hat{O}_x$.

Unramified CFT tells us that the map

$$A^\times \ni (a_x)_{x \in |X|} \mapsto \prod_{x \in |X|} \text{Frob}_x^{\text{ord}_x(a_x)} \in (\text{Gal}_E^{\text{unr}})$$

induces an isomorphism

$$(E^\times \setminus A^\times / O^\times)^\wedge \simeq (\text{Gal}_E^{\text{unr}})^{\text{ab}}$$

Would like to reformulate this statement geometrical-
ly. Note:

$$*\quad \text{Gal}_E^{\text{unr}} \simeq \pi_1(X) \quad (\text{take } \pi_1)$$

$$*\quad (\text{Gal}) \quad E^\times \setminus A^\times / O^\times \simeq \overset{\text{Picard scheme of } X}{\text{Pic}_X(\mathbb{F}_q)}$$

Hence, can reformulate the above as: Fix ℓ .

\exists natural bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{continuous characters} \\ \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell^\times \end{array} \right\} \hookrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{continuous characters} \\ \text{Pic}_X(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell^\times \end{array} \right\}$$

s.t. if $f \mapsto \chi_\ell$, $\chi_\ell(O(x)) = \rho(\text{Frob}_x)$.

To go further, observe:

- * LHS \hookrightarrow rank 1 $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}\ell$ -local systems on X .
- * RHS \hookrightarrow character local sys on Pic_X , i.e. $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}\ell$ -local systems on Pic_X s.t. $m^* \bar{F} \simeq p_1^* \bar{F} \otimes p_2^* \bar{F}$

$m: \mathrm{Pic}_X \times_{\mathbb{F}_1} \mathrm{Pic}_X \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_X$ multiplication

p_1, p_2 projections. (Necessarily rank 1).

(\leftarrow : take the trace of Frobenius.)

(\rightarrow : use the big image, making Pic_X an etale tower over itself with Galois gp $\mathrm{Pic}_X(\mathbb{F}_1)$.)

Rk: Character local system:

homomorphism $G \rightarrow B\Lambda^\times$ of commutative gp stalks

(rank 1 local system: morphism $G \rightarrow B\Lambda^\times$ of stalks).

Second (and final) reformulation:

Let $AJ: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_X$ be the Abel-Jacobi map.
 $x \mapsto \mathcal{O}(x)$

Then: AJ' induces an equivalence of categories

$$\{ \text{character local systems on } \mathrm{Pic}_X \} \simeq \{ \text{rank 1 local systems on } X \}.$$

before giving the proof, some remarks:

- (1) This reformulation now makes sense over any base field.
- (2) In the above, used the local class Pic_X ; could we instead use the Picard stack $\mathcal{P}\text{ic}_X$. (a Gm -gerbe over Pic_X): local classes and the same. However in the following pf, will be important to work with Pic_X . The opposite will happen later in the local setting.
- (3) Geometric approach can be extended to deal with ramification. (Guignard, Takeuchi)

Pf (sketch) (Deligne). Can work over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$.

For $d \geq 1$, consider the degree d Abel-Jacobi map

$$\text{AJ}^d: X^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X^d, D \mapsto \mathcal{O}(D).$$

$$(\text{AJ} = \text{AJ}^{-1}).$$

Let \mathcal{L} be a rank 1 local system on X .

Because \mathcal{L} has rank 1, $\mathcal{L}^{\boxtimes d} \in \text{Def}(X^d, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ descends to a rank 1 local system $\mathcal{L}^{(d)}$ on $X^{(d)}$.
(Explicitly, $\mathcal{L}^{(d)} = (\pi_{d,*} \mathcal{L}^{\boxtimes d})^{S_d}$
 $\pi_d: X^d \rightarrow X^{(d)}$)

Fiber of AJ^d is the linear system
 $|D| = \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D)))$.

by Riemann-Roch, as long as $d > 2g-2$,
this is filtration in projective spaces over Pic_X^d .

Fact: $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}^n) = 0, \forall n \geq 1$.

Hence, $L^{(d)}$ descends to a bundle on Aut_L^d in
 Pic_X^d for each $d > 2g-2$.

Since Aut_L^d is obtained by descending $L^{\otimes d}$,
one checks that for $d, d' > 2g-2$, if
 $m: \text{Pic}_X^d \times \text{Pic}_X^{d'} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X^{d+d'}$
 $m^* \text{Aut}_L^{d+d'} \simeq \text{Aut}_L^d \otimes \text{Aut}_L^{d'}$.

This property allows to define Aut_L^d for
all d and show that the local system Aut_L^d
obtained is a character sheaf. •

local CFT: setting the stage

Assume now that E is a non-archimedean local field.
 (i.e. $[E : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$, $E \cong \mathbb{F}_q((\pi))$)

Frigg's: Can we replace the curve X in the above by "the" F-F curve for E to say something about CFT geometrically? ($\pi_1(X_{C,E}) \simeq \text{Gal}_E^\circ$)

- C complete alg. closed non-archimedean field over $\mathbb{F}_q \leadsto X_{C,E}$ depends on this auxiliary choice of C .

- $X_{C,E}$ is an adic space over $\text{Sp}(E)$.

Consider "Pic": $\{\text{tors spaces}\}_{\text{over } E} \rightarrow \text{grds}$
 $T \mapsto \{\text{line bundles on}\}_{m \in X_{C,E} \times \text{Sp}(E)}^T$

makes sense at least for T rigid-analytic space.

Not very interesting ℓ -adic sheaves on "Pic"!

(But still an interesting moduli problem, cf.
 Emerton-Gee, Hellmann)

Instead, better to keep the "E-direction fixed" and consider the "C-direction" as variable:

For any $S \in \text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_1} = \{\text{perf'd spaces}/\mathbb{F}_1\}$

can define $X_{S,E}$ analyticadic space / E,
and consider the following moduli problems:

* $\text{Div}_E^1 : S \mapsto \{ \text{"relative Cartier divisors of } \\ \text{degree 1"} \text{ in } X_{S,E} \}$

* $\text{Pic}_E : S \mapsto \{ \text{line bundles on } X_{S,E} \}.$
 $(= \text{Bun}_1)$

What is the geometry of these objects?

1) Pic is a stack for the V-topology on $\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_1}$,
with a simple geometric structure:

$$\text{Pic} = \bigsqcup_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Pic}^d = \bigsqcup_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} [\ast / \underline{E}^\times]$$

$(\ast = \text{Spa}(\mathbb{F}_1))$

NB: To see why $\left| \ast \right|$ is V-locally isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(d)$
 E^\times enough to work with $\left| \ast \right|$ and $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}(d)) = \underline{E}^\times$.
 Picard stack, not Picard "scheme"!

In particular, $\mathrm{Def}(\mathrm{Pic}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}}^d, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\ell}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\text{smooth of } \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\text{-var}).$

2) Better def["] of Div' :

Let $S \in \mathrm{Perf}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}}$, $S^\#$ completion of S/E .
 $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^\wedge)$ $\mathrm{Spa}(R^\#, R^{\#\wedge})$

Frobenius θ map: $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^\wedge) \longrightarrow R^{\#\wedge}$
 $\sum [x_n] \pi^n \mapsto \sum x_n^\# \pi^n$

surjective with kernel principal generated by
a non-zero divisor $\{$ primitive of deg 1

(ie $\{ \in W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R), x_i \in R^\times \cap R^{\#\wedge}$).
 $= \sum [x_n] \pi^n \quad x_i \in (R^\wedge)^\times \}$

This defines a map

{unlifts over E } \rightarrow {closed Cartier divisors on $y_{S,E}$ }
 ϕ

Say that a closed Cartier divisor is relative of deg 1
if it is in the image of the map. Then,

$$\mathrm{Div}' \simeq \mathrm{Spa} E^\wedge / \phi^2.$$

Div' is a diamond.

Rk: $\mathrm{Div}' \rightarrow *$ is proper & l-canon. smooth
but Div' is not sphtial (not quasi-separated).

Next, want to give another description of Div' ,
 better suited to the def' & study of the Abel-Jacobi map.

Let G be the Lubin-Tate formal gp law
 with action of \mathcal{O}_E over \mathcal{O}_E , G^{ad} its algebric
 fiber. Since after choosing a coordinate, $G \cong \text{Spf}(\mathbb{Q}_E[[x]])$,
 $G^{\text{ad}} \cong \overset{\circ}{D}_E$ open unit disk / E .

Have a logarithm map: $\log: G^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow A'_E$
 $x \mapsto \sum_{i \geq 0} \pi^{-i} X^{q^i}$

& exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow G^{\text{ad}}[\pi^\infty] \rightarrow G^{\text{ad}} \xrightarrow{\log} A'_E \rightarrow 0$$

(as étale sheaves over the big site for $\text{Spa } E$).

Form the overall cover $\tilde{G} = \varprojlim_{X^\pi} G \cong \text{Spf}(\mathbb{Q}_E[[\tilde{X}^{1/q^n}]])$

Then, for any π -adically complete
 \mathcal{O}_E -alg A ,

$$\tilde{G}(A) = \tilde{G}(A/\pi) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_E}(E/\mathcal{O}_E, A(A/\pi))\left[\frac{1}{\pi}\right]$$

any element of $G(A/\pi)$ is killed
 by a power of π .

$$\text{Also, } \tilde{G}(A) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_E}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\tilde{X}^{1/q^n}]], A) = \varprojlim_{X \rightarrow X^p} A^{\bullet, 0} = A^{b, 0}.$$

Claim 1: $S = \text{Span}(R, R^\#)$ aff'd perf / \mathbb{F}_q .
 $S^\# = \text{Span}(R^\#, R^{\#\#})$ uniflt / E .

The map $\tilde{G}(R^{\#\#}) = R^{\circ\circ} \rightarrow H^0(Y_{S,E}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{S,E}})$
 $x \mapsto \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi^i [x^{q^{-i}}]$

induces $\tilde{G}(R^{\#\#}) \simeq H^0(Y_{S,E}, \mathcal{O})^{q=\pi} \simeq H^0(X_{S,E}, \mathcal{O}(1))$.

Moreover, evaluation at $S^\#$:

$$H^0(X_{S,E}, \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(S^\#, \mathcal{O}_{S^\#}) = R^\#.$$

gets identified with

$$\tilde{G}(R^{\#\#}) \rightarrow G(R^{\#\#}) \xrightarrow{\text{Tg } G} R^\#.$$

Pf. If $\text{char}(E) > 0$, have

$$H^0(Y_{S,E}, \mathcal{O}) = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} x_i \pi^i, |x_i|r^i \xrightarrow[i \rightarrow \pm\infty]{} 0 \right\}$$

$$()^{q=\pi} \Rightarrow x_i = x_{i+1}^q \quad \forall i$$

All determined by x_0 , which must be top. nilp and can be any such an element.

If $[E : \mathcal{O}_p] < \infty$, can use Dieudonné theory:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_E}[E/\mathcal{O}_E, G(R_p^{\#\#})[\frac{1}{\pi}]] \simeq (B_{\text{crys}}^+)^{q=\pi}$$

$$= B^{q=\pi}.$$

Let $E_\infty = \left(U \{ \text{a. forming pts of } G \text{ in } \bar{E} \} \right)^{\wedge \pi}$
 perfectoid field. Over E_∞ ,

$$\mathcal{O}_E \simeq (T_\pi G)(\mathcal{O}_{E_\infty}) \subset \tilde{G}(\mathcal{O}_{E_\infty}).$$

Hence, by the claim, if $S^\#$ lies over E_∞ , get
 a nonzero section of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ in $X_{S, E}$, vanishing at
 $S^\# \hookrightarrow X_{S, E}$.

Claim 2: This defines a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{S, E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{S, E}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S^\#} \rightarrow 0.$$

This gives a map:

$$\underbrace{(\mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)) \setminus \mathrm{rot})}_{(S \mapsto \text{sections in } H^0(X_{S, E}, \mathcal{O}(1)) \text{, fibers on } S \text{ non-zero})} / E^\times \longrightarrow \mathrm{Div}'$$

$$(S \mapsto \text{sections in } H^0(X_{S, E}, \mathcal{O}(1)) \text{, fibers on } S \text{ non-zero})$$

by sending such a section f to $V(f)$. It is
 well-defined: by Claim 1, $\mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)) \simeq \mathrm{Spd} \mathbb{F}_q[[x^{1/p^\infty}]]$,
 hence $\mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)) \setminus \mathrm{rot} \simeq \mathrm{Spd} \mathbb{F}_q((x^{1/p^\infty}))$.
 $= \mathrm{Spd} E_\infty^\wedge = \mathrm{Spd} E_\infty$.

Hence, gives by Claim 2 a map to Div' , which
 is nothing but $\mathrm{Spd} E_\infty \xrightarrow{\phi_\infty} \mathrm{Spd} E \xrightarrow{\phi_\infty'} \mathrm{Div}'$.

$\underline{\mathcal{O}_E^\times}$ -torsor $\phi = \pi$ on $BC(O(1)) \setminus \text{soft.}$

Hence,

$$R\mathcal{V}' \simeq (BC(O(1)) \setminus \text{soft}) / E^\times.$$

" A degree 1 Cartier divisor is the same thing as a deg 1 line bundle \mathcal{L} , fibres non-tors soft in moduli $(\mathcal{L}, u) \sim (\mathcal{L}', u')$ if $\exists L \simeq \mathcal{L}'$. "

$$u \leftrightarrow u'$$

Rk. Note that $BC(O(1)) \setminus \text{soft} \simeq \text{Spd } E_\infty^L \simeq \text{Spd } \mathbb{F}_q(X^{1/\infty})$ is a qcqs perf'd space but if C is a perfectoid field/ \mathbb{F}_q , $BC(O(1)) \setminus \text{soft} \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \text{Spa } C \simeq \overset{\circ}{D}_C^*$ isn't quasi-compact anymore (i.e. $BC(O(1)) \setminus \text{soft} \rightarrow *$ not qc)

Rk. The above identification can be made explicit in points, cf. Dorinescu's talk.

$$\left(\underbrace{G(O_C) \setminus \text{soft}}_{m_C^6} \right) / E^\times \xrightarrow{\textcircled{1}} \text{Div}'(C) \xrightarrow{\textcircled{2}} (BC(O(1)) \setminus \text{soft}) / E^\times$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \xi \in m_C^6 \mapsto u_\xi = \frac{[\xi]_G}{[\xi^{1/q}]_G}, \quad [\xi]_G = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\pi^n]_G ([x^{q^n}])$$

$[\xi]_G = [\pi]_G [\xi^{1/q}]_G, [\pi]_G = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pi^x \text{ mod } \pi^{d+1} \\ x^q \text{ mod } \pi \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow$ primitive of deg 1 in $Ainf(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \{ \text{ primitive deg 1 } \mapsto \prod \{\xi\} \text{ "Weiltrans product" } \\ \text{ assume } \{ \in \pi + W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(m_C^6) \quad || \quad \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \overbrace{\prod^+(\zeta) \prod^-(\zeta)}^{\pi^+(\zeta) \pi^-(\zeta)} - \varphi(\pi^+(\zeta)) \\ &= \prod_{n \geq 0} \frac{\varphi^n(\zeta)}{\pi^n} = \underbrace{\log_q ([\pi^n]_q)}_{\log_q ([C]_q)} \end{aligned}$$

②. ① : Can set $\pi^+(u_\Sigma) = \pi [\Sigma^{1/1}]_q$.

& one computes : $\pi^+(u_\Sigma) = \frac{1}{\pi [\Sigma^{1/1}]_q} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overbrace{\bar{u}}^n [\pi^n]_q [E]_q$

$$\Rightarrow \pi(u_\Sigma) = \log_q ([C]_q), \quad \underbrace{\log_q ([C]_q)}$$

as expected!

More generally, define a relative Cartier divisor of deg d to be a line bundle J on X_S , together with an injective map $J \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_S}$, staying injective after pullback to any geom pt of S ("relative") s.t. J has degree d .

(By the above, did not change our defⁿ when $d=1$). Kedlaya. \hookrightarrow moduli Div^d of deg d relative Cartier divisors is

$$\text{Div}^d = (\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(d)) \setminus S_d) / \underline{E}^d$$

Prop : The sum map $(\text{Div}')^d \rightarrow \text{Div}^d$
induces $DN^d \cong (\text{Div}')^d / S_d$.

(both sides are proper v-sheaves, hence can check
 bijectively on geom print, where it is Fargues-Tamme
 factorization theorem "fundamental th. of (new) algebra").

Rk: In particular, Div^d is a diamond (as
 $(\text{Div}')^1 \rightarrow \text{Div}^d$ is finite hence quasi- \mathbb{Z} -etale), and
 thus also $\text{BC}(\mathcal{O}(d))_{\text{tot}}$ is. (In Desprès's talk,
 was only passed after b.c. to a perf'd space.)

Local CFT: geometric proof

Let $\rho: W_E \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}^\times$ continuous character.

$$\text{Since } \text{Div}' = \text{Spd } E/\phi^2, \quad \text{Div}'_k = \text{Spd } \tilde{E}/\phi^2 \\ (k = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_1) \qquad \qquad \qquad = \text{Spd } \hat{\tilde{E}}/W_E$$

hence ρ can be seen as a rank 1 local
 system \mathcal{L} on Div'_k .

Goal: descend \mathcal{L} along

$$\text{AJ} = \text{AJ}_1 : \text{Div}'_k = (\text{BC}_k(\mathcal{O}(1))_{\text{tot}})/\underline{E}^\times \rightarrow \underset{\parallel}{\text{Pic}}_L$$

If we can do that, we deduce that ρ comes
 from a character χ_ρ of E^\times , by comparing χ_ρ' with $[\ast/\underline{E}^\times]$

The inverse of Art : $E^\times \rightarrow W_E^\text{ab}$. Indeed, one has : $\text{Art}^{-1}(g) = \chi_{LT}^{-1}(g)$ if $g \in I_E^\text{ab}$, $\text{Art}^{-1}(g) = \pi$ with $\sigma \in W_E^\text{ab}$ Frobenius, and as seen above, the E^\times -torsor $BC_k(O(1)) \setminus \text{Sot} \rightarrow \text{Div}_k$ identifies with $\text{Spd } \tilde{E}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Spd } \tilde{E} \rightarrow \text{Spd } \tilde{E}/\phi^2$
 Lichten-Tate O_E^\times -torsor $\xrightarrow{\phi \text{ acts via } \pi \text{ on } BC_k(O(1))}$

For $d \geq 1$, can again construct local system $\mathcal{L}^{(d)}$ on Div^d using $\text{Div}^d \cong (\text{Div})^d / S_d$.
 Again, suffices to show that $\mathcal{L}^{(d)}$ is pulled back from a local system on Pic^d along
 $\text{AT}^d : (BC_k(O(d)) \setminus \text{Sot}) / E^\times \rightarrow \text{Pic}_k^d$
 for some $d \gg 0$.

Reduces to prove the following statement :

Theorem (Fargues) : For all $d \geq 3$,

$BC_k(O(d)) \setminus \text{Sot}$ simply connected.

Rk : Should be true for all $d \geq 2$.

Pf in char p : Assume $E \cong \mathbb{F}_q((\pi))$.

Then (similarly as what happens when $d=1$)

$$BC(O(d)) \simeq \text{Spa}(\mathbb{F}_q[[x_1^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}, x_d^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]]^{\text{(not analytic)}})$$

$$S = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$$

$$(R^{\circ\circ})^d \simeq H^0(Y_S, \mathcal{O})^{\varphi = \pi^d}$$

$$(x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [x_i^{q^{-k}}]^{\pi^{i+kd}}$$

Thus, $BC(O(d)) \setminus \text{sot} = \text{Spa}(\mathbb{F}_q[[x_1^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \dots, x_d^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]] \setminus V(x_1, \dots, x_d))$
is a perfectoid space.

One gets:

$$\widehat{\text{F\'et}}(BC_k(O(d)) \setminus \text{sot}) \simeq \lim_{\leftarrow} \widehat{\text{F\'et}}(\text{Spa}(k[[x_1^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \dots, x_d^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]] \setminus V(x_1, \dots, x_d)))$$

Hence, suffices to show that any finite etale cover of

$$\text{Spa}(k[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]) \setminus V(x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

spf.

Lemma: A noetherian ring, I ideal.

$$(\text{"GAGA"}) \quad \widehat{\text{F\'et}}(\text{Spa}(A) \setminus V(I)) \simeq \widehat{\text{F\'et}}(\text{Spa}(A) \setminus V(I))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence, } \widehat{\text{FET}}(\text{Spn } k[[x_1, \dots, x_d]] \setminus V(x_1, \dots, x_d)) \\ \cong \widehat{\text{FET}}(\text{Spec } k[[x_1, \dots, x_d]] \setminus V(x_1, \dots, x_d)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Zariski-Nagata} \\ d \geq 2 \end{array} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \cong \widehat{\text{FET}}(\text{Spec } k[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]) \\ \cong \widehat{\text{FET}}(k) \end{array}$$

Hensel

Rk: 1) The proof when $\text{char}(E) = 0$ is more complicated as then $\text{BC}(O(d)) \setminus \text{bot}$, $d > 1$, is merely a diamond, not a perf'd space. Still ultimately reduce to a purity result (in rigid geometry).

2) Above proof shows that for $E = \mathbb{F}_q((\pi))$, $d \geq 2$, $\text{BC}(O(d)) \setminus \text{bot}$ is simply connected; but for any C complete alg closed perfectoid field / \mathbb{F}_q ,

$$\text{BC}(O(d)) \setminus \text{bot} \times_{\text{Spn } \mathbb{F}_q} \text{Spn } C = \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{D}}_C^d$$

punctured perf'd open
unit disk of dim d

which isn't simply connected!

(no Künneth formula for π_1 in char p.)

Beyond GL₁

What we achieved for GL₁: starting from
 $p: W_E \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$, seen as a rank 1 local system \mathcal{L}
in Div_k^1 , constructed $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}}$ local system on Pic_k
with "checkerboard" property:

$$m^* \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}} = p_1^* \mathcal{L} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{L}$$

(by descending $\mathcal{L}^{(d)}$ to Pic_k^d for $d \gg 0$ and then
extending to all of Pic_k as in the fraction field
setting).

What about other groups?

$G = GL_n/E$ for simplicity (extends to all
reductive groups / E).

Result from Hansen's talk: the v-stack

Bun_n

in Perf_k of rank n v.b. on the Ff. curve.

For each $b \in B(GL_n)$ (\hookrightarrow rank n isocrystal) isoclinic,
open substack $j_b: \text{Bun}_n^b \simeq [^*/G_b(E)] \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_n$

(G_b : automorphism group of b).

Fargues conjectured in 2014 that to any indcomparable rep^{"p}: $W_E \rightarrow GL_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ should be associated an object $\text{Ant}_p \in \text{Det}(B_{\text{dR}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}_p})$

s.t. ① $\forall b \in B(GL_n)$ isoclinic,

$$j_b^* \text{Ant}_p \hookrightarrow L_{b,p} \otimes G_b(E)$$

$$\text{Det}(B_{\text{dR}}^b, \bar{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \simeq D(\overset{\text{smooth}}{\underset{\bar{\mathbb{Q}_p}\text{-repr of } G_b(E)}}{\mathcal{D}})$$

and s.t. ② Ant_p is a "Hecke eigensheaf with Hecke eigenvalue \mathcal{L} " (\mathcal{L} rank n bdl sys on D_{vK} corresponding to p).

The Hecke property implies Kottwitz conjecture saying that bndry. laylards and bndry. Jaquet-laylards are realized in the ℓ -adic cohomology of generalized Lubin-Tate spaces. Indeed, the latter can be reinterpreted as noduli spaces of modifications of vb on the FF-curve (Scholze-Westdickenberg).

When $n=1$, ① is the compatibility of the geometric constructions discussed above with Artin reciprocity (through Lubin-Tate theory).

② is saying that for any $d \geq 1$, if

$$m_d : \text{Pic} \times (\text{Div}')^d \rightarrow \text{Pic}$$

$$(\mathcal{E}, x_1, \dots, x_d) \mapsto \mathcal{E}(\sum x_i),$$

then $m_d^* \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\boxtimes d}$, which follows from the "character sheaf property".

Relation to the Fouvrat conjecture is in this case just the relation to Lubin-Tate theory.

[In terms of local shinkas: let $S = \text{Sp}(R, R^\#)$, $\xi \in W_{\mathcal{O}_S}(R^\#)$ primitive of deg 1 ($\hookrightarrow (R^\#, R)^{\#}$). Set $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_S$, and consider the shinka $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi_\xi)$, with

$$\varphi_\xi : q^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \quad \text{given by mult. by } \xi.$$

$$\text{Then } \mathcal{E}_\infty := \varprojlim_{n \geq 0} q^{n \times \mathcal{E}} \quad \text{q-equivariant}$$

$$\mathcal{E}^\infty := \varprojlim_{n \leq 0} q^{n \times \mathcal{E}} \quad \text{Lie bundles on } Y_S$$

with an injective morphism $\iota: \mathcal{E}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\infty$
 φ -equivariant

deduced from φ_Σ .

Assuming $\xi \in \pi + W_{OE}(k^\infty)$ (as we can up to
 renormalizing), $\Pi^+(\xi) = \prod \frac{\varphi^n(\xi)}{n}$ well-def

and defines a non-zero section of $\mathcal{E}_\infty(1)$, i.e.

a trivialization $\mathcal{E}_\infty \simeq \mathcal{O}(-1)$. On the other
 hand, there is up to multiplication by an element
 of E^\times , a unique $\Pi^-(\xi)$ s.t. $\varphi(\Pi^-(\xi)) = \xi \Pi^-(\xi)$,
 defining a trivialization $\mathcal{E}^\infty \simeq \mathcal{O}$. Then $\Pi(\xi) = \Pi^+(\xi) \Pi^-(\xi)$
 give a trivialization of the Tate module
 of the \mathfrak{g} -dual bundle group over $R^{\#+}$ attached to
 (Σ, φ_Σ) .]