

Banach-Colmez species

Stockholm

June 2022

Let C be a complete alg.-closed non-archimedean field over \mathbb{Q}_p , C^\flat its tilt.

We let $(\mathrm{Spa}C)_v$ be the v -site of $\mathrm{Spa}C$: the category of all perf'd spaces over $\mathrm{Spa}C$, endowed with the v -topology (topology generated by surjective morphisms $S' \rightarrow S$ of aff'd perf'd spaces).

Def.: The category of Burch-Colombe spaces is the smallest abelian subcategory stable by extensions and containing the v -sheaves \mathcal{O}_p and $G_{a,C}$ of the category of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_p -modules on $(\mathrm{Spa}C)_v$.

Here, $\mathcal{O}_p : S \mapsto \mathrm{Cont}(|S|, \mathcal{O}_p)$

$$G_{a,C} := \left(\mathrm{Aff}_C \right)^\diamond : S \mapsto \mathcal{O}_S(S).$$

This definition is reminiscent of the definition of unipotent perfect group schemes in char. p :

let k alg.-closed field of char. p . The category of

perfect algebraic group schemes killed by p over
 $(\simeq G^F, G_{\text{tor}})$ \mathcal{A} is an abelian subcategory stable
 by extensions of the category of sheaves of \mathbb{F}_p -v.s. in the
 perfect etale/ \mathbb{F}_p -site of $\mathrm{Spf}(k)$, and any object has a
 composition series with quotients isomorphic to G_a or \mathbb{F}_p .

In this char. p setting more is known:

- The functor $D: \mathcal{G} \mapsto \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{D(\mathrm{Spf}(k)_v, \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{F}_p)$
 defines an auto-duality of the subcategory $\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Spf}(k))$ of $D(\mathrm{Spf}(k)_v, \mathbb{F}_p)$
 formed by bdd complexes with cohomology in the above
 abelian category.

E.g. if $\mathcal{G} = G[\cdot]$, G étale, $D(\mathcal{G}) = G^*[-1]$

if $\mathcal{G} = G[\cdot]$, G connected !mazing!

$$D(\mathcal{G}) = \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1_{D(\mathrm{Spf}(k)_v, \mathbb{F}_p)}(G, \mathbb{F}_p)[-1].$$

- Can extend the definition of $\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Spf}(k))$ to the relative setting: Kato did it in the 80's for smooth varieties over a char p perfect field.

This is useful to study duality: if X smooth proper variety over $\text{Spec}(k)$, the flat cohomology of μ_p on X $R\Gamma_{\text{flat}}(X, \mu_p)$ can naturally be seen as an object of $D(\text{Spec}(k))$ and satisfies duality w.r.t. D .

What about analogues of these results for Beilinson-Lichtenbaum spaces?

Better surprisingly, deciding non-trivial examples of BC-spaces involves Faltings' period rings. E.g.:

$$0 \rightarrow G_{q,C} \rightarrow B_{dk,C}^+ / t^2 \rightarrow G_{q,C} \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow Q_p \rightarrow (B_{\text{crys}}^+)^{\varphi=p} \rightarrow G_{q,C} \rightarrow 0$$

non-split extensions.

In fact the category of Beilinson-Lichtenbaum spaces is closely related to the category of coherent sheaves on the PF-curve attached to Q_p and C^\flat .

Let E local field of res. char. p , $\mathbb{F}_q = \text{res. field}$; $\text{inf } \pi$.

Se par \mathbb{F}_q $X_S = Y_S / q_S$, $Y_S = \text{Sp}(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^\flat))$
 attached to E , fixed. \rightarrow if $S = \text{Sp}(R, R^\flat)$ with $p \in S$.

Th 1: The functor $S \mapsto \underset{\text{perf}}{\text{Perf}}(X_S)$ is a v.-skf of ∞ -categories
 cat. of perfect complexes on X_S

Rk: a) For vector bundles, the result is already known
 and due to Redden - Lin. Critical to define
 Fargues-Scholze's Bung (A reductive/E).

b) our motivation was to define a good notion of
 "flat coherent sheaves on X_S " satisfying v-descent in S

Here: \mathcal{O}_{X_S} -module which can, locally for the
 analytic topology on X_S , be written as the cokernel
 of a morphism b/w vector bundles which remain
 injective after base changing all geom pts of S.

Proof (Sketch):

Step ①:

Let $E_\infty = \widehat{E(\pi^1)^{per}}$. Using that the map $E \rightarrow E_\infty$
 splits as a map of E-Banach spaces and that the adic
 space $X_S \times_{Sp(E)} Sp(E_\infty)$ is perf'd, Th 1 is reduced
 to :

Sketch of pf: Let $f: T \rightarrow S$ be a v-cover.
 let $T^{(1)} \rightarrow S$ Cechere of f
 (simplicial perf'd space)

Need to show: $\Phi: \text{Perf}(S) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\Delta} \text{Perf}(T^{(1)})$
 is an equivalence.

The important input in the proof is the following
 result of Andoeyerher.

Th (Andoeyerher) The functor $\text{Spa}(R, R^+) \mapsto \text{Perf}(X)$
 (perfect complexes of R -modules) in the category of analytic
 affinoid adic spaces is a sheaf for the analytic topology.

the proof goes by identifying $\text{Perf}(X)$ with Miltzath
 objects in a certain category of solid quasicoheres on X .
 sym normed

In particular, we assume $S = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$, $T = \text{Spa}(R', R'^+)$.
 Fully faithfulness of Φ follow from lemmas of
 $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R' \rightarrow R' \hat{\otimes}_R^L R' \rightarrow \dots$
 and dervage.

The hard part is essential injectivity. We follow the following strategy:

First, when $(L, L^+) = (k, k^+)$ prof'd field, result can be easily deduced from the case of v.b. by truncations.

Def: $\text{Spa}(A, A^+)$ affinoid space, $M \in \text{Perf}(A)$. An integral model of M is a perfect complex $M^+ \in \text{Perf}(A^+)$

$$\text{i.e. } M^+ \underset{A^+}{\otimes} A \simeq M.$$

back to S: let $N \in \varprojlim_{\Delta} \text{Perf}(T^\circ / S)$.

Pick $x \in S$. by analytic descent, enough to show N° descends in a neighborhood of x . By the case of fields, pullback N_x° of N° to $f^{-1}(x)^\circ / S_{\leq x}$ descends to some $M_x \in \text{Perf}(k(x))$. If we choose an integral model of M_x , get integral model $N_x^{+ \circ}$ of N_x° by pullback.

Lemma: (A, A^+) complete uniform Tate-Huber pair. $M \in \text{Perf}(A)$. The functor F_M :

$$(B, B^+) \mapsto \left\{ \text{int. models of } B \underset{A}{\otimes} M \right\}$$

complete uniform Tate-Huber pair over (A, A^+)

commutes with filtered colimits (which are completed!).

If: $(b_i, b_i^+), i \in I$, filtered system.

(B, B^+) uncompleted colimit, $(C, C^+) = (B, B^+)^{\wedge}$.

Bhatt: the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{dotted varin of}) & \text{Perf}(B^+) & \rightarrow \text{Perf}(B) \\ (\text{dearbe. logb}) & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \text{Perf}(C^+) & \rightarrow \text{Perf}(C) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cartesian} \Rightarrow F_{\mathcal{P}}(C, C^+) &= \text{Perf}(B^+) \times_{\text{Perf}(B)} \{N \otimes B\} \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_i F_{\mathcal{P}}(B_i, B_i^+). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Since $(k(x), k(x)^+)$ filtered limit in complete uniform Tate-Huber pair of rational open neighborhood of x in S , up to shrinking S , assume that we have an integral model $N^+ \in \varprojlim_{\Delta} \text{Perf}(R_n^+)$ for N .

On the other hand, can also extend the integral model M_x^+ to an integral model M^+ of \mathcal{P} (again, up to shrinking S).

The descent data N^+/π^{\wedge} and $\widetilde{M^+}/\pi^{\wedge}$ (deduced from M^+/π^{\wedge} by pullback to T^{\wedge}/π) become isomorph

on the fiber $f^{-1}(x) = \varprojlim_{U \ni x} T_X U$.

\Rightarrow Up to shrinking S , $\widetilde{M}^+/\underline{\pi} \simeq N^+/\underline{\pi}$.

by induction on n , define $M_n^{+,a}$ cigne descent of
 $N^+, \cdot, a / \underline{\pi}^n$ $\text{Perf}(R^{+,a})$

(only almost, as integrally Φ is only fully faithful
 in almost categories)

These are compatible and can set $N^{+,a} = \varprojlim_n M_n^{+,a}$.

Then $M := N^{+,a} [\frac{1}{\underline{\pi}}]$ defines a dualizable object
 in $D((R, R^+)_+)$, hence (Andreyev) gives a
 perfect complex of R -modules descended N . ■

Recall that the relative FF-cone X_S , although it can
 be thought of as a family of "usual" FF-cones
 attached to germ-pts of S , does not live over S ,
 as an adic space. However, have a morphism of
 sites $\tau : (X_S)^{\diamond}_r \rightarrow S_r$.

Th 2: $R\tau_* : \text{Perf}(X_S) \rightarrow D(S_r, \underline{E})$
 is fully faithful.

Th 1: $S \mapsto \text{Perf}(S)$ is a v.stack (of ∞ -cat)
in the category of all perf'd spaces.

Step ② : Prove descent for a v-cover $\text{Spa}(L, L^+) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(k(L^+))$,
 K, L perfectoid fields (reduce by truncation to v-b.)

Step ③ : Andreyev has proved analytic descent of
perfect complexes. Hence can prove descent analytically.
Then use that for $s \in S$,

$$\text{Spa}(k(s), k(s)^+) = \varprojlim_{U \ni s} U$$

+ spreading out argument. ■

Recall that the relative FF-cane X_S , although it can
be thought of as a family of "usual" FF-canes
attached to geom. pts of S , does not live over S ,
as an adic space. However, have a morphism of
sites $T: (X_S)^\wedge_v \rightarrow S_v$.

Ex: $R\Gamma_{*}(O) = E[0]$.

• $S^{\#}$ completion of S over E . Defines
a "Cohomology dinner" $i_{S^{\#}*} : S^{\#} \rightarrow X_S$ and

$$R\Gamma_{*}(i_{S^{\#}*} O_{S^{\#}}) = G_{a, S^{\#}}[0].$$

Th 2: $R\Gamma_{*} : \text{Perf}(X_S) \rightarrow D(S, E)$
is fully faithful.

Rks: 1) Rather surprising: false for \mathbb{P}^1 !
($O(-1)$ has no cohomology)

2) A mod p analogue of this (and
much more) has been proved by Mann:

dualizable objects in

$$\xrightarrow{\quad} D_a^a(S, O_S^+/\pi)^{\vee} \hookrightarrow D(S, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

weakly almost
perfect complexes
of

$$\xleftarrow{\quad ? \quad} D_a^a(X_S, O^+/\pi).$$

("mod p Hirono-Hilbert")

Sketch of proof: Using results of Fayers-Schlegel,

reduces to computing $\underline{R\text{Hom}}_{D(S_U, E)}(?, ?)$ for

$$?, ? \in \{\underline{E}, G_{q, S^\#}\}$$

$S^\#$ fixed
 in E of S .
 our E .

$$\underline{R\text{Hom}}_{D(S_U, E)}(\underline{E}, G_{q, S^\#}) = R\text{Hom}_{X_S}(i_{S^\#*} \mathcal{O}_{S^\#}).$$

Easy when $? = \underline{E}$. When $E = G_{q, S^\#}$,

$$\underline{R\text{Hom}}_{D(S_U, E)}(G_{q, S^\#}, \underline{E}) \cong G_{q, S^\#}(-1)[1].$$

$$\underline{R\text{Hom}}_{D(S_U, E)}(G_{q, S^\#}, G_{q, S^\#}) \cong G_{q, S^\#} \oplus G_{q, S^\#}(-1)[1].$$

Using the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{inf}} \xrightarrow{F - \text{id}} \mathbb{B}_{\text{inf}} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{inf}} \xrightarrow{\{ } \mathbb{B}_{\text{inf}} \rightarrow G_{q, S^\#} \rightarrow 0,$$

with $\mathbb{B}_{\text{inf}} = A_{\text{inf}}[\frac{1}{q}]$, $A_{\text{inf}} = W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\mathcal{O}^+)$,

reduces to showing $\underline{R\text{Hom}}_{D(S_U, \underline{E})}(A_{\text{inf}}, A_{\text{inf}})$

$$\cong \overline{A_{\text{inf}}} \left\langle F^{-1} \right\rangle_{(\pi_q [=])}$$

\$(\pi)[\sigma])\$-adic completion.

This in turn is deduced (by \$\pi\$-completeness) from \$R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{D(S_\nu, \mathbb{F}_q)}(0^+, 0^+) \simeq 0^+ \langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle_{(\mathbb{Q})}\$.

This is proved using Green's computation:

$$R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{D(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q), \mathbb{F}_q)}(G_a, G_a) \simeq G_a[F^{\pm 1}].$$

alg. perfect v.-site

Rks.: a) From th 2, we deduce for each \$M \in \mathrm{Perf}(X_S)\$ a natural isomorphism

$$R\Gamma_*(R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_S}}(M, 0)) \simeq R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{D(S_\nu, E)}(R\Gamma_* M, E)$$

"relative Serre duality" in the FF-case.

b) Original motivation from Th 2 come from defining and studying an \$\ell\$-adic Fourier transform \$(\mathrm{lf}_p)\$ for Banach-Colombe spaces, relevant to the study of LLC in the context of Fargues-Scholze's generalization program.

(Again, very analogous to unipotent perfect group schemes!)

Call $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}(S)$ the essential image of $\text{Perf}(X_S)$ in $D(S_U, \underline{E})$ by Th 2 and its proof shows:

- $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}(S)$ is the full subcategory of $D(S_U, \underline{E})$ of objects which v-basically on S belong to the smallest idempotent complete stable ∞ -subcategory spanned by \underline{E} and $C_{q, S^\#}$ ($S^\#$ counit of S/E).

\Rightarrow When $E = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $S = \text{Spa}(C, C^\dagger)$, $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}$ agrees with the bounded derived cat. of BC-spaces.

- The functor $D := R\underset{D(S_U, \underline{E})}{\underline{\text{Hom}}}(-, \underline{E})$ defines an auto-duality of $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}$.

$$\text{E.g.: } D(E) = \underline{E}, \quad D(C_{q, S^\#}) = C_q^\#(-1)[-1].$$

Moreover, by Th 1, one makes sense of $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}(S)$ for any (small) v-stack S , in particular for any rigid space.

Ex: 1) $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{Spd}\bar{k}) = \mathcal{D}^b$ (isocrys over k)
 (Anschluss)
 2) $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}(D_{\mathrm{cris}}^v|_K) \sim (\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over the
 / Rota ring
 W_E -equivariant perfect complexes on $X_{K, E}^{et}$ (analog of
 D_{cris}^v -basic top of W_K , $\ell \neq p$)

Q: Can one make this category more explicit for
 S smooth rigid space?
 (contains E -local systems (for the v-top) and
 v-vector bundles (....) Higgs bundles).)

Q: let $f: S' \rightarrow S$ smooth proper morphism of rigid
 spaces. Is $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}$ stable under Rf_* ? Do we
 have a projection formula?

When \exists "integral structure"; can be deduced from
 work of Merm.

Here is a non-trivial example outside this cat.

Ex: (Sildje's Triget-Lefschetz factor applied to an algebraic realization.) $E = \mathbb{Q}_p$, $S' = \mathbb{P}'_C \rightarrow S_p(C) = S$.

Let \mathbb{L} \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on \mathbb{P}'_C obtained by descents the twist rank 2 local system \mathbb{Q}_p on $M_{LT, \infty, C}$ along $M_{LT, \infty, C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}'_C$ with standard action of $G_L(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ \mathbb{Q}_p -torsor.

Using the s.e.s.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \rightarrow BC(O(\frac{1}{2})) \rightarrow G_a, \mathbb{P}'_C \xrightarrow{=} 0,$$

(Fontaine's crystalline-Chile expansion for Tate module under p -div gp over $M_{LT, \infty}$), can compute

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}'_C, \mathbb{L}) = \begin{cases} BC(O(-1/2)) & i=1 \\ BC(O(1/2)) & i=2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

not finite dim'l \mathbb{Q}_p -v.s, but still Banach-Colmez spaces.

Also note that $\mathbb{L} \otimes G_a = \hat{O}(-1) \oplus \hat{O}(1)$ hence

$$R\Gamma_k(-) = O(1) \oplus O(-1) \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{Q}_p \\ [-1] \end{smallmatrix} \right]. \text{ Thus}$$

$$R\Gamma(\mathbb{P}'_C, \mathbb{L} \otimes G_a) = \begin{cases} H^0(\mathbb{P}'_C, O(1)) = C^2 & i=0 \\ H^1(\mathbb{P}'_C, O(-1)) \simeq C^2 & i=2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

No regular form holds if we \otimes truncated from the FF-case!

category more explicit for S smooth rigid space.
It contains E -local systems (for the v -topology)
and v -vector bundles (\dashrightarrow Kipp bundles).

This category won't be stable by various operations
in general, e.g. pushforward. An even more ambitious
goal would be to show that

$\mathrm{Perf}_{\mathcal{O}_S} \ni S \mapsto D_{\mathrm{qcoh}}^+(\mathcal{X}_S)$ satisfies v -descent
allowing to define for any small v -stack an ω -cat
 $\mathcal{BC}_v(S) + 6$ -functor formalism.
($\mathcal{BC}(S)$ would then be recovered as the subcat. of
disizable objects.)