

Six functor formalism of analytic stacks and examples

Adam Dauser

May 24, 2025

1 Talk 3: Six functor formalism of analytic stacks

1.1 Introduction to six functor formalisms

The first six functor formalism was constructed by Grothendieck et al. [SGA4] to handle (relative) étale cohomology (with compact supports). Let's briefly recall how it goes (with coefficients in $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ or $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, where n resp. ℓ is tacitly assumed to be a unit on all schemes):

1. To a scheme X , associate the étale site $X_{\text{ét}}$ consisting of étale maps $f : Y \rightarrow X$ with covers given by jointly surjective maps. Form the subcategory $\mathcal{D}(X_{\text{ét}})$ of the derived category¹ of sheaves of Λ -modules on X whose cohomology sheaves are constructible;
2. \otimes_Λ^L equips $\mathcal{D}(X_{\text{ét}})$ with the structure of a symmetric monoidal category, and we can form $\text{R}\underline{\text{Hom}}$ as its right-adjoint;
3. pulling back sheaves along a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ yields $f^* : \mathcal{D}(Y_{\text{ét}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X_{\text{ét}})$ with right adjoint, the *relative étale cohomology “push forward” functor* $\text{R}f_*$;
4. if $j : U \rightarrow X$ is an open immersion, j^* has a left adjoint, the *extension by zero functor* $j_!$. Factoring a separated map of finite type $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as

$$X \xrightarrow{j} \overline{Y} \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} Y$$

an open immersion followed by a proper morphism, we define the *relative étale cohomology with compact support “exceptional push forward” functor* $\text{R}f_! = \text{R}f_* j_!$, which admits a right adjoint $\text{R}f^!$. These are the six functors.

5. we have the projection formula: Given a separated map of finite type $f : X \rightarrow Y$, there is a “canonical” isomorphism $\text{R}f_!(A \otimes_\Lambda^L f^* B) \simeq (\text{R}f_! A) \otimes_\Lambda^L B$ functorial in A and B ;
6. and proper base change: Given a fibre square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X \\ \downarrow g' & & \downarrow g \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{f} & Y, \end{array}$$

there is a “canonical” isomorphism $g^* \text{R}f_!(A) \simeq \text{R}f'_! g'^*(A)$ functorial in A .

¹Starting here, we will treat all derived categories as ∞ -categories.

Remark 1. *The meaning of the word canonical here is a bit subtle and all the compatibility conditions between these natural isomorphisms are quite involved. This problem is worse yet for analytic stacks. Yifeng Liu and Weizhe Zheng give a precise formulation of all this data, which Lucas Mann nicely repackaged as a lax symmetric monoidal functor*

$$\mathrm{Corr}(\mathrm{Sch}, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_\infty,$$

where $\mathrm{Corr}(\mathrm{Sch}, E)$ is a certain ∞ -category, whose objects are schemes with maps given by correspondences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ g \swarrow & & \searrow f \\ X & & Y \end{array}$$

with f separated of finite type, and composition given by taking fibre products. In general, the class E comprises $!$ -able maps i.e. those we for which we can form $f_!$.

As notation like $Rf^!$ is a bit of a red herring anyway, we will drop R 's and L 's from the notation.

Everything can (almost) be translated to quasi-coherent sheaves on affine schemes:

1. To any ring R associate $\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Spec}(R)) := \mathcal{D}\mathrm{Mod}(R)$;
2. we have \otimes_R^L and RHom_R ;
3. for $f : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(S)$, we have $f^* := - \otimes_S^L R : \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Spec}(S)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$ with right adjoint $(-)_S = f_*$;
4. we set $f_! := f_*$, which admits a right adjoint $f^! = \mathrm{RHom}_R(S, -)$;²
5. there is a canonical isomorphism $f_!(A \otimes f^* B) \simeq (f_! A) \otimes B$ functorial in A and B ;
6. given a fibre square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(S' \otimes_S R) & \xrightarrow{f'} & \mathrm{Spec}(R) \\ \downarrow g' & & \downarrow g \\ \mathrm{Spec}(S') & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{Spec}(S), \end{array}$$

such that g is flat, there is a canonical isomorphism $g^* f_!(A) \simeq f'_! g'^*(A)$ functorial in $A \in \mathcal{D}(Y')$.

Remark 2. *One can eliminate the condition that g is flat by working with animated rings³ instead—this means we would be taking $\mathrm{Spec}(S' \otimes_S^L R)$ instead. Then we obtain a quasi-coherent six functor formalism*

$$\mathcal{D} : \mathrm{Corr}(\mathrm{AniSch}_{\mathrm{aff}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_\infty.$$

In the following we will also secretly work with animated analytic rings/stacks.

²Not to be confused with the functor from Grothendieck duality.

³These can e.g. modelled via simplicial rings

1.2 Six functors of affine analytic stacks

Definition 3. Any map $f : R \rightarrow S$ of analytic rings can be factored into

1. a proper map $(R^\triangleright, \text{Mod}_R) \rightarrow (S^\triangleright, \{M \in \text{Mod}_{S^\triangleright} \mid M \otimes_{S^\triangleright} R^\triangleright \in \text{Mod}_R\})$, the latter is called the induced analytic ring structure;⁴
2. an open immersion $((S^\triangleright, \{M \in \text{Mod}_{S^\triangleright} \mid M \otimes_{S^\triangleright} R^\triangleright \in \text{Mod}_R\})) \rightarrow (S^\triangleright, \text{Mod}_S)$.⁵

Remark 4. To understand what open immersions can look like, think back to the example of étale sheaves. If $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ is an open immersion, $j_! \Lambda \in \mathcal{D}(X_{\text{ét}})$ is an idempotent co-algebra: There is a co-unit $\epsilon : j_! \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ and co-multiplication $c : j_! \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} j_! \Lambda \otimes j_! \Lambda$. $\mathcal{D}(U_{\text{ét}})$ is exactly the category of co-modules over this co-algebra i.e. sheaves such that $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F} \otimes j_! \Lambda$. If the complement⁶ is $i : Z \rightarrow X$, $i_* \Lambda$ is similarly an idempotent algebra and we can recover $j_! \Lambda$ as the fibre

$$j_! \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow i_* \Lambda.$$

The same is true for analytic rings: Open immersions of analytic stacks come from “complementary” idempotent algebras $A \in \text{Mod}_R$.

Remark 5. Warning: This means that any map of analytic rings with discrete analytic ring structure is proper. In particular, these words do not match up with the nomenclature of algebraic geometry.

To combine what we have learnt from these two examples,

1. To an analytic ring $R = (R^\triangleright, \text{Mod}_R)$, associate $\mathcal{D}(\text{AnSpec}(R)) := \mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}_R)$;
2. we have $\otimes := \otimes_R^L$ with right adjoint RHom_R ;
3. for $f : \text{AnSpec}(R) \rightarrow \text{AnSpec}(S)$, we have $f^* := - \otimes_S^L R : \mathcal{D}(\text{Spec}(S)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\text{Spec}(R))$ with right adjoint $(-)_S = f_*$;
4. factor a map f into a proper morphism \bar{f} and open immersion j . If j^* admits a left adjoint satisfying the projection formula, we call f !-able.⁷ In that case set $f_! = \bar{f}_* j_!$, which admits a right adjoint $f^!$. Explicitly $f^! = \text{RHom}_R(S, -)$ for proper maps and j^* for open immersions;
5. there is a canonical isomorphism $f_!(A \otimes f^* B) \simeq (f_! A) \otimes B$ functorial in A and B ;
6. given a fibre square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(S' \otimes_S^L R) & \xrightarrow{f'} & \text{Spec}(R) \\ \downarrow g' & & \downarrow g \\ \text{Spec}(S') & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Spec}(S), \end{array}$$

there is a canonical isomorphism $g^* f_!(A) \simeq f'_! g'^*(A)$ functorial in $A \in \mathcal{D}(Y')$.

⁴Of course, you have to complete S^\triangleright w.r.t. this pre-analytic ring structure.

⁵This will only match the cohomological definition of “open immersion” if j^* admits a left adjoint $j_!$ satisfying the projection formula. Examples coming from complementary idempotent algebras as in the following remark are of this form.

⁶With any scheme structure e.g. the reduced one.

⁷E.g. any proper map and any open immersion given by solidifying finitely many elements is !-able.

1.3 Supplement: General notions in 6 functor formalisms

Definition 6. (*Poincaré duality.*) Let $\mathcal{D} : \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, E)^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ be a 6-functor formalism, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a map in E , then we call:

1. $K \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ f -smooth, if for the f -smooth dual $\mathbb{D}_f^{sm}(K) := \text{Hom}(K, f^! 1_Y)$ the canonical map

$$\pi_1^* K \otimes \pi_2^* \mathbb{D}_f^{sm}(K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi_2^* K, \pi_1^! K)$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, if $K = 1_X$ is f -smooth, $f^! \simeq f^*(- \otimes \mathbb{D}_f^{sm}(1_X))$;

2. f cohomologically smooth, if $1_Y \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ is f -smooth and the dualising sheaf $\mathbb{D}_f^{sm}(1_Y)$ is \otimes -invertible.

3. $L \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ f -proper, if for the f -proper dual $\mathbb{D}_f^{prop}(L) := \pi_{2,*} \text{Hom}(\pi_1^* L, \Delta_!(1_X))$ the canonical map

$$f_!(L \otimes \mathbb{D}_f^{prop}(L)) \rightarrow f_* \text{Hom}(L, L)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proposition 7. f -smooth resp. f -proper objects and f -smooth maps are stable under base change and satisfy $!$ -descent.

Moreover, f -proper resp. f -smooth objects are stable under cones and shifts. The f -proper resp. f -duals can be computed by applying the exact functors \mathbb{D}_f^{sm} resp. \mathbb{D}_f^{prop} .

Further, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & h & & \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

where f is cohomologically smooth with dualising sheaf L and $K \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ is h -proper with h -proper dual K' , then $f_! K$ is g -proper with g -proper dual $f_!(L \otimes K')$.⁸

Proof. All of these but the last can be found in the Notes to Six functor formalisms. We sketch the last:

$$\begin{aligned} g_!(f_! K \otimes -) &\simeq g_! f_!(K \otimes f^* -) \simeq h_!(K \otimes f^* -) \\ &\simeq h_* \text{Hom}(K', f^* -) \simeq g_* f_* \text{Hom}(K' \otimes L, f^! -) \\ &\simeq g_* \text{Hom}(f_!(K' \otimes L), -) \end{aligned}$$

Using the $(\infty, 2)$ -category $LZ_{\mathcal{D}}$, it is not hard to make this into a formal argument. \square

1.4 Passing to stacks

“Stacks” might sound a bit scary at first, but as will treat them analogously to the natural continuation of the tower of generalisations

$$\text{affine schemes} \rightarrow \text{separated qc schemes} \rightarrow \text{schemes}$$

given by the following: Separated (qc) schemes X are exactly those sheaves in the Zariski topology⁹, that admit a (finite) Zariski cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ by an affine scheme and such that the map $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X \times X$ is affine¹⁰. Similarly, schemes are those Zariski sheaves admitting a Zariski cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ by a separated qc scheme and such that $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ is quasi-compact and separated.

⁸Of course, there is a dual statement as well.

⁹we are working with the big Zariski site on affine schemes here.

¹⁰For a general Zariski sheaf X , we say $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ is affine, if for any affine scheme Y and map $Y \rightarrow X \times X$, the fibre product $Y \times_{X \times X} X \rightarrow Y$ is affine.

Exchanging the Zariski for the étale topology, this would give us

$$\begin{aligned} \text{affine schemes} &\rightarrow \text{qc algebraic spaces with affine diagonal} \\ &\rightarrow \text{algebraic spaces} \rightarrow \text{Deligne-Mumford stacks} \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

(the list now proceeds infinitely to the right if we allow sheaves of 2-groupoids, ..., anima instead).

The technically most important fact about these topologies is that $\mathcal{D}(-)$ satisfies descent for them.

In the world of analytic stacks, there is just no relevant distinguished class of “analytic schemes”, because we will choose a far far finer topology called the $!$ -topology, which roughly is defined to be the finest manageable topology for which $\mathcal{D}(-)$ satisfies descent.

Definition 8. A proper map $f : A \rightarrow B$ of analytic rings is called *descendable*, if

$$A \in \langle f_* M \mid M \in \mathcal{D}(B) \rangle_{\text{fin. lim.}, \text{retracts}}.$$

We further call a map descendable of index $\leq m$, if for $F = \text{fib}(A \rightarrow B)$, $F^{\otimes Am} \rightarrow A$ is null-homotopic.

Example 9. A split epimorphism is descendable of index ≤ 1 .

Remark 10. Zariski covers for open immersions of analytic stacks and descendable proper maps are examples of $!$ -cover.

Example 11. Faithfully flat maps of countable presentation are an example of descendable maps. Notably, not all faithfully flat maps will do, because we will later need to impose some compatibility with $f_!$, $f^!$. More generally:

Proposition 12. Let $g_{i < j} : A_i \rightarrow A_j$ be a diagram of shape (\mathbb{N}, \leq) in the category of analytic rings and let $(f_i : A \rightarrow A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a cocone consisting of proper maps of analytic rings, that are descendable of index $\leq m$, then

$$A \rightarrow \varinjlim A_i$$

is descendable.

As an enlightening exercise, let us first run this programme for the Zariski topology and restricting to analytic stacks with discrete analytic ring structure and let’s extend to quasi-compact and separated schemes.

1. define $\mathcal{D}(X) = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{D}(U_i)$ for any open affine cover U_i of a quasi-compact separated scheme X (such that $\bigsqcup_i U_i \rightarrow X$ is affine in our case);
2. this automatically becomes equipped with \otimes and Hom ;
3. given $f : Y \rightarrow X$, take a finite open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X and a compatible one $\{V_{ij}\}$ on Y . Then we can glue the maps $\mathcal{D}(U_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(V_{ij})$. This is independent of the covers by Zariski descent. We obtain f_* as its right adjoint;
4. defining $f_!$ is a bit more subtle now. We want proper base-change to hold. If we ponder this for fibre products along $j : U \rightarrow X$ with fibre $V \rightarrow Y$, a definition is forced on us if $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is affine: Take an open affine cover U_i of X , we obtain $f_i : f^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i$ and define $f_!$ via descent from $f_{i,*} = f_{i,!}$. This will automatically satisfy proper base change and the projection formula.¹¹

¹¹~[Man23, Proposition A.5.12]

For any map $f : Y \rightarrow X$, there exists a open affine cover $j_i : V_i \rightarrow Y$ such that $g : \sqcup_i V_i \rightarrow Y$ and $f \circ g : \sqcup_i V_i \rightarrow X$ are affine.¹² Set n -fold self intersections $g_n : \sqcup_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} V_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap V_{i_n} \rightarrow Y$. Define

$$f_! := \varinjlim_{n \in \Delta} (f \circ g_n)_! g_n^!.$$
¹³

Again, this admits a right adjoint.

The general mechanism, that we will apply to the six functor formalism of affine algebraic stacks is:

Proposition 13 (Programme DESCENT). *Denote by AnStack the category of $!$ -sheaves¹⁴ a.k.a. analytic stacks. Define*

1. *for any analytic stack \mathcal{X} , set $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}) = \varprojlim_{(X \rightarrow \mathcal{X})} \mathcal{D}(X)$, where the inverse limit is running over X affine (think a quasi-coherent sheaf on \mathcal{X} can be pulled back to X);*
2. *\otimes and Hom are automatic;*
3. *f^* and f_* by descent;*
4. *we can define $f_!$ for a slightly mysterious class of $!$ -able maps. For affine maps of analytic stacks, we may define it via descent from $f_!$'s for affine maps. Then we can induct.*

*For a full construction vis Scholze's lecture notes:*¹⁵

Remark 14. *We genuinely need Mod_R to be R -modules on solid abelian groups, even if we only care about discrete modules in the end, these are not preserved by $f_!$ for e.g. open immersions of schemes, that are not quasi-compact.*

2 Talk 5: Examples of analytic stacks

2.1 Betti stacks

Consider the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ProFin}^{\text{light}} &\rightarrow \text{AnStack} \\ S &\mapsto \text{AnSpec}(\text{Cont}(S, \mathbb{Z})). \end{aligned}$$

For a surjective map of light profinite sets, $S \rightarrow T$, the induced map $\text{Cont}(T, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Cont}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ can be written as an \mathbb{N} -indexed colimit of split surjective maps and is thus descendable. Hence the functor takes hypercovers to $!$ -hypercovers and extends to a unique colimit preserving functor

$$-\text{Betti} : \text{CondAni}^{\text{light}} \rightarrow \text{AnStack}.$$

This is controllable, if we plug in a finite dimensional locally compact Hausdorff space:

Proposition 15. *Let S be a finite dimensional compact Hausdorff space and $f : S' \rightarrow S$ a surjection from a light profinite set. Then $f_* \mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{D}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is descendable.*

¹²Indeed, find an open affine cover $U_i \rightarrow X$ such that $\sqcup_i U_i \rightarrow X$ is affine, pulling back yields that $\sqcup_i f^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow Y$ is affine. Now we may find finite open affine covers $V_{ij} \rightarrow f^{-1}(U_i)$ of the quasi-compact spaces $f^{-1}(U_i)$.

¹⁴Often a slightly technical notion between sheaf and hypersheaf is assumed instead.

¹⁵<https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/scholze/SixFunctors.pdf>

Corollary 16. *For any analytic stack X and any finite-dimensional compact Hausdorff space S , one has a natural equivalence*

$$\mathcal{D}(X \times S_{\text{Betti}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(S, \mathcal{D}(X)),$$

where the later denotes the ∞ -category of sheaves on S with values in $\mathcal{D}(X)$.

Proof. If S is a light profinite set, the global sections functor $\mathcal{D}(S, \mathcal{D}(X)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\text{Cont}(S, \mathbb{Z})} \mathcal{D}(X) \simeq \mathcal{D}(X \times \text{AnSpecCont}(S, \mathbb{Z}))$ is an equivalence. In general, choose a surjection $f_0 : S_0 \rightarrow S$, with Čech nerve $f_\bullet : S_\bullet \rightarrow S$. On each step, we get

$$\mathcal{D}(X \times S_{n, \text{Betti}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(S_n, \mathcal{D}(X)) \simeq \text{Mod}_{f_{n, *}}(\mathcal{D}(S, \mathcal{D}(X)))$$

with the second equivalence induced by $f_{n, *}$. This is functorial in n and we get equivalences

$$\mathcal{D}(X \times S_{\text{Betti}}) \simeq \varprojlim_{\Delta} \mathcal{D}(X \times S_{\bullet, \text{Betti}}) \simeq \varprojlim_{\Delta} \text{Mod}_{f_{n, *}}(\mathcal{D}(S, \mathcal{D}(X)))$$

where the first equivalence comes from the fact that $S_{\bullet, \text{Betti}} \rightarrow S$ is a $!$ -hypercover. Finally, the previous lemma, that $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow f_* \mathbb{Z}$ is descendable implies that

$$\varprojlim_{\Delta} \text{Mod}_{f_{n, *}}(\mathcal{D}(S, \mathcal{D}(X))) \simeq \mathcal{D}(S, \mathcal{D}(X)).$$

□

Theorem 17. *(Tannakian reconstruction.) Let S be a finite-dimensional compact Hausdorff space. For any analytic stack X , maps $X \rightarrow S_{\text{Betti}}$ are equivalent to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ -linear colimit preserving symmetric monoidal functors*

$$\mathcal{D}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$$

such that there exists some $!$ -cover $X'_i \rightarrow X$ by affine analytic stacks, for which the composite functor

$$\mathcal{D}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X'_i)$$

preserves connective objects.

Moreover, such functors are equivalently given by collections of idempotent algebras $A_Z \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ for every closed subset $Z \subseteq S$, such that $Z \mapsto A_Z$ sends limits to colimits and finite colimits to limits¹⁶, such that $A_Z|_{X'_i} \in \mathcal{D}(X'_i)$ are connective.

Remark 18. By abuse of notation, we will also write S_{Betti} for the base change to $\text{AnSpec}(\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}})$.

Lemma 19. *Let X be a complex analytic space, then $X(\mathbb{C})_{\text{Betti}}$ admits a $!$ -cover consisting of maps*

$$\text{AnSpec}(\text{Cont}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})_{\text{Betti}}$$

induced by maps $S \rightarrow X$, where $S = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$ is a light profinite set such that for every $s_n \in S_n$, $\text{im}(S \times_{S_n} \{s_n\} \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C}))$ is compact Stein.

Proof. By covering $X(\mathbb{C})$ with compact Stein subsets, we may assume X is compact Stein. Set $S_0 = \{0\}$ and $X_0 = X$. Now inductively cover X_i for $i \in S_n$ with Stein subsets X_j indexed by a finite set $j \in I_i$ such that in each set X_j , there exists a point $x_j \in X_j$ that is not contained in any other $X_{j'}$ for $j' \in I_i$. Set $S_{n+1} = \sqcup_{i \in S_n} I_i \rightarrow S_n$. Under these conditions, we see that for

¹⁶I.e. is a morphism of locals between the locale opposite to the frame of closed subsets and the locale of idempotent algebras.

any $s \in S := \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$, $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{s_n} =: \{f(s)\}$ is a single point. One readily checks, that the map $f : S \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})$ is surjective, continuous; and that for every $s_n \in S_n$,

$$\mathrm{im}(S \times_{S_n} \{s_n\} \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})) = X_{s_n}.$$

As $-\mathrm{Betti}$ sends covers to $!$ -covers, the associated map

$$S_{\mathrm{Betti}} = \mathrm{AnSpec}(\mathrm{Cont}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{gas}}) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Betti}}$$

is a $!$ -cover. \square

Lemma 20. *Let X be a complex-analytic space. Then $\mathcal{O}(Z)^\dagger$ are idempotent and satisfy the conditions of the Tannakian reconstruction theorem for $X(\mathbb{C})$. For any compact Stein subset $Z \subseteq X$, $X \times_{X(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Betti}}} Z(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Betti}} = \mathrm{AnSpec}(\mathcal{O}(Z)^\dagger)$.*

Proof. That $\mathcal{O}(Z)^\dagger$ satisfy the appropriate conditions is proved in [complex.pdf].¹⁷ The second part is a direct consequence of the proof of Tannakian reconstruction. \square

Theorem 21. *Let X be a complex-analytic space, then $X \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Betti}}$ is an epimorphism of analytic stacks.*

Proof. We may check that $X \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Betti}}$ is an epimorphism of analytic stacks on a $!$ -cover consisting of

$$\mathrm{AnSpec}(\mathrm{Cont}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{gas}}) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Betti}}$$

of the form of the previous lemma. This means, we need to show

$$X \times_{X(\mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{Betti}}} \mathrm{AnSpec}(\mathrm{Cont}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{gas}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AnSpec}(\mathrm{Cont}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{gas}})$$

is a $!$ -cover. Setting,

$$X_n = \bigsqcup_{s_n \in S_n} \mathrm{im}(S \times_{S_n} \{s_n\} \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})),$$

we use the previous lemma to see that this fiber product is affine and can be computed as

$$\mathrm{AnSpec}(\varinjlim_n \mathcal{O}(X_n)^\dagger) \rightarrow \mathrm{AnSpec}(\varinjlim_n \mathrm{Cont}(S_n, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{gas}}).$$

As a proper map of affine analytic stacks, it suffices that the corresponding map of analytic rings is descendable. But for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{gas}}^{S_n} = \mathrm{Cont}(S_n, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{gas}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X_n)^\dagger$$

is split and thus descendable of index ≤ 1 . Thus their colimit is descendable. \square

2.2 Algebraic model for Fourier analysis

Definition 22. *For every closed subset $I \subseteq S^1$, set $K_I := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \mid \arg(z) \in I\} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Define*

$$\mathcal{O}^{\dagger, \mathrm{alg}}(K_I) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger(K_I) \mid \exists N \geq 0 : |f(z)| \leq |z|^N \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow \infty, |f(z)| \leq |z|^{-N} \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow 0\}.$$

These are idempotent algebras compatible with intersections and finite unions and we have $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger, \mathrm{alg}}(S^1) = \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}]$. By Tannakian reconstruction, this yields a map

$$\mathrm{arg} : \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{gas}}}^{\mathrm{alg}} \rightarrow (S^1)_{\mathrm{Betti}}.$$

¹⁷This reference works with $\mathbb{C}^{p\text{-liq}}$ instead of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{gas}}$ but carefully inspecting all arguments involved

Definition 23. Define $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_m$ as the fibre product (of abelian analytic group stacks) of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{arg} \\ \mathbb{R}_{\text{Betti}} & \longrightarrow & (S^1)_{\text{Betti}} \end{array}$$

We automatically have a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 0$$

We have a map $\log : \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ obtained by glueing together $\log \in \mathcal{O}^{\dagger, \text{alg}}(K)$ defined on sectors (note it satisfies the growth condition). This is interesting as it is possible to define the Fourier transform on $\text{R}\Gamma(\widetilde{G}_m)$.

2.3 Analytic deRahm stacks

The classic Riemann-Hilbert correspondence is between vector bundles with a flat connection and their associated local systems of flat sections. Such sections fulfil a special kind of partial differential equation. This correspondence has been generalised to so called (regular holonomic) D -modules, which are (sheaves of) modules over an (non-commutative sheaf of) algebra(s) of differential operators, which in turn correspond to constructible sheaves.

If we impose stronger analytic properties on our D -modules, we will in fact get more than a mere equivalence of certain subcategories of sheaves. We will get an equivalence of analytic stacks!

Definition 24. Let X be a complex manifold, define $\widehat{\Delta(X)} \subset X \times X$ to be the union of all infinitesimal thickenings of the diagonal¹⁸. Define the algebraic deRahm stack as $X^{\text{dR}} = X/\widehat{\Delta(X)}$.

Define $\Delta(X)^{\dagger} = \varprojlim_{U \subset \Delta(X)} U$ as union of all open subsets of $X(\mathbb{C})$ the overconvergent neighborhood of the diagonal. Define the analytic deRahm stack $X_{\text{dR}}^{\text{an}} = X/\Delta(X)^{\dagger}$.

Next we will see how these relate to the aforementioned D -modules.

2.4 Cartier duality

As is so often the case, one can reduce the discussion to the case that X is an affine line. The algebraic deRahm stack becomes $\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{dR}} = \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}} / \widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}$ and the analytic one $\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{an, dR}} = \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{an}} / \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\dagger}$, where $\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\dagger} := (\{0\} \subset \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{an}})^{\dagger}$. We want to write $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{dR}})$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{an, dR}})$ in terms of certain modules over the Weil algebra of differential operators, so called D -modules.

As a first step, we must then understand $\mathcal{D}(* / \widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}})$ and $\mathcal{D}(* / \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\dagger})$. From usual algebraic geometry, we know to expect $QCoh(* / G) = \text{Rep}_G$ for any algebraic group, this is true in large generality:

Theorem 25. Let $\mathcal{D} : \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, E)^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ be a 6-functor formalism and $f : G \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ a group object in \mathcal{C} such that f is cohomologically proper¹⁹, then $f_* 1_G$ has the structure of a coalgebra and there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$\mathcal{D}(1_{\mathcal{C}} / G) \simeq \text{coMod}_{f_* 1_G} \mathcal{D}(1_{\mathcal{C}}).$$

Dually, if f is cohomologically smooth with dualising sheaf, set $f_{\natural} - = f_{\natural}(L) \otimes -$. Then $f_{\natural} 1_G$ has the structure of an algebra and there exists an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$\mathcal{D}(1_{\mathcal{C}} / G) \simeq \text{Mod}_{f_{\natural} 1_G} \mathcal{D}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$$

¹⁸This is the same as the (automatically open) $!$ -image of the cohomologically smooth map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$

¹⁹In the proof we will only require that 1_G is f -proper and the proper dual is invertible.

Proof. Using that $1_C \rightarrow 1_C/G$ is split and thus a $!$ -cover, we see that the morphism $h : 1_C \rightarrow 1_C/G$ is also cohomologically proper. One checks, by the Barr-Beck-Lurie theorem, that the adjunction $h^* \dashv h_*$ is comonadic. Using, that h is cohomologically proper, we can use the projection formula to see that

$$h^* h_*(K) \simeq f_* f^*(K) \simeq f_*(f^*(K) \otimes 1_G) \simeq K \otimes f_*(1_G).$$

A slightly more sophisticated computation shows, that this induces an isomorphism of comonads between $h^* h_*$ and the comonad structure on $- \otimes f_* 1_G$ given by an induced coalgebra structure on $f_* 1_G$. \square

Next, we will understand what such a coalgebra structure amounts to using Cartier duality.

Lemma 26. *There is an equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$\mathcal{D}(*/\widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U])$$

given by sending a representation $M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[U]]$ to $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U]$ -module M on which U acts by $M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[U]] \xrightarrow{id \otimes \pi_{U^1}} M$; conversely send a $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U]$ -module (M, f) to the representation $c : M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[U]]$ given by $m \mapsto \exp(Uf)(m) = \sum \frac{U^i}{i!} f^i(m)$.

This equivalence intertwines convolution on $\mathcal{D}(*/\widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}})$ with the tensor product on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U])$. The tensor product of $\mathcal{D}(*/\widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}})$ is intertwined with the operation \otimes' on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U])$ sending two $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U]$ -modules M, N on which U acts via f and g respectively to the $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U]$ -module $M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}} N$ on which U acts via $m \otimes n \mapsto f(m) \otimes n + m \otimes g(n)$.²⁰

Proof. It is easy to check that the described functors are well-defined and that the second composed with the first yields the identity functor. It remains to check that any representation $c : M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}} \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[[U]]$ is determined by its projection to first coefficient of the power series. Projecting on the n -th coefficient yields a map $f_n : M \rightarrow M$. The fact that c is a coaction map translates on the corresponding power series in $F := \sum f_n U^n \in \text{End}(M)[[U]]$ to the conditions $F(x+y) = F(x)F(y)$ and $F(0) = 0$. This implies $n!f_n \cdot m!f_m = (n+m)!f_{n+m}$. In characteristics 0, this shows that $F = \exp(f_1 U)$. \square

Remark 27. As $\widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}} \subset \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}$ is an open immersion and $\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}$ is cohomologically smooth with dualising sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}[2]$ ²¹, $\widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}$ is also cohomologically smooth with dualising sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}}[2]$. So we could have also proved this statement purely abstractly. But this presentation gives us a clear indication for what $\mathcal{D}(*/\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^\dagger)$ should look like in terms of $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U]$ -modules: Intuitively, we have to impose a growth condition on $f : M \rightarrow M$ such that $\exp(fU) : M \rightarrow M \otimes \mathbb{C}[[U]]$ factors over the ring of germs of holomorphic functions, i.e. for each $m \in M$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{f^n(m)}{n!} U^n$$

converges on a small open neighborhood.

Corollary 28. *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{dR}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[\partial, T]_{\text{ass}}/(\partial T - T\partial - 1)).$$

²⁰Using the fact that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}$ is also cohomologically smooth, one could in fact have used another purely formal argument for this statement: Applying the theorem in the opposite 3-functor formalism, one obtains for a homologically smooth group object G that $\mathcal{D}(1_C/G) \simeq \text{Mod}_{f_{\mathbb{A}} 1_G} \mathcal{D}(1_C)$.

²¹If we further embedded into $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^1$, one could prove this using ordinary Grothendieck duality.

Proof. $\pi : \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{dR}} \rightarrow \ast / \widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}$ is affine, whence

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{dR}}) \simeq \text{Mod}_{\pi_* 1_{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{dR}}}} \mathcal{D}(\ast / \widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}).$$

$\pi_* 1_{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{dR}}}$ corresponds to the $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[[U]]$ -comodule $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[T] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}} \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[[U]]$ sending $T^n \mapsto (T \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes U)^n = nT^{n-1} \otimes U + \dots$. Under the previous equivalence, this corresponds to the $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U]$ -module structure on the \mathbb{C}_{gas} -module $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[T]$, where U acts by differentiation i.e. $T^n \mapsto nT^{n-1}$. This is an algebra for \otimes' using the multiplication $f \otimes g \mapsto fg$ ²². Now a module for this algebra is a $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U]$ -module M , say U acts via f , together with an action map $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[T] \otimes' M \rightarrow M$, say T acts via g . Then by definition of \otimes' , this is equivalent endomorphisms f and g such that $fg = 1 + gf$.²³ \square

Theorem 29. *In general, let X be a complex analytic space, then*

$$\mathcal{D}(X_{\text{dR}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}_{D_X})$$

for D_X the sheaf of differential operators.

2.5 Comparisons between deRahm stacks

Our next goal is to more concretely understand $\mathcal{D}(X_{\text{dR}}^{\text{an}})$ and especially $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}, \text{dR}}^{\text{an}})$.

Proposition 30. *Let $g : \ast / \widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}} \rightarrow \ast / \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^\dagger$ be the canonical map. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\ast / \widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}}$ is g -proper with g -proper dual $\mathcal{O}_{\ast / \widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}}[-2]$. Further,*

$$g^* : \mathcal{D}(\ast / \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^\dagger) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\ast / \widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U])$$

is fully faithful with essential image given by those modules killed after tensoring with the idempotent $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U]$ -algebra of those power series

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n U^n \in \mathbb{C}((U^{-1}))$$

for which there exists some $r > 0$ such that $|a_n| \frac{r^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Consider the maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & q & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ \ast & \xrightarrow{h} & \ast / \widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}} & \xrightarrow{g} & \ast / \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^\dagger \end{array}$$

By $!$ -descent, as $\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^\dagger \rightarrow \text{AnSpec } \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}$ is proper, we know that \mathcal{O} is q -proper with q -proper dual \mathcal{O}_* . Further, by $!$ -descent, h is cohomologically smooth and surjective with dualising sheaf $\mathcal{O}[2]$. By the lemma in the beginning, it follows that $h_! \mathcal{O}$ is g -proper.

There is an exact triangle

$$h_! \mathcal{O}[1] \xrightarrow{U} h_! \mathcal{O}[1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$$

this is true as $h_! \mathcal{O}[1]$ corresponds to the $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U]$ -module $\mathbb{C}[T^\pm]/\mathbb{C}[T]$ with U acting by differentiation (which is injective), \mathcal{O} corresponds \mathbb{C}_{gas} and there is a short exact sequence

²²This follows from the Leibniz rule.

²³One could also have proven this entirely differently by using a similar comonadicity statement to show $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{dR}}) \simeq \text{coMod}_{\sigma_* 1} \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}})$, where $\sigma : \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}$ is the action map and then arguing as before.

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[T^\pm]/\mathbb{C}[T] \xrightarrow{U} \mathbb{C}[T^\pm]/\mathbb{C}[T] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence \mathcal{O} is also g -proper. In particular, this implies that g_* satisfies the projection formula.

Now we prove fully faithfulness of g , we need to check that $id \rightarrow g_*g^*$ is an isomorphism. But as g_*g^* satisfies the projection formula, it suffices to check that $g_*\mathcal{O} \simeq \mathcal{O}$.

Applying $g_!$ to the previous triangle, we get a triangle

$$(g \circ h)_!\mathcal{O}[1] \xrightarrow{U} (g \circ h)_!\mathcal{O}[1] \longrightarrow g_!\mathcal{O}$$

but $q = g \circ h$ is proper and thus $q_!\mathcal{O} = q_*\mathcal{O}$ is the regular representation of $\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^\dagger$. U acts by differentiation on it, which is surjective with the kernel given by constant functions, so we get a triangle

$$\mathcal{O}[1] \longrightarrow (g \circ h)_!\mathcal{O}[1] \xrightarrow{U} (g \circ h)_!\mathcal{O}[1]$$

Rotating the first triangle shows that $g_!\mathcal{O}[-1] \simeq \mathcal{O}[1]$. Thus, $g_!\mathcal{O}[2] \simeq \mathcal{O}$. In the same way one calculates the g -proper dual²⁴ using the formulas from the beginning to be $\mathcal{O}[2]$. Hence $g_*\mathcal{O} \simeq g_!\mathcal{O}[2] \simeq \mathcal{O}$, which proves that g is fully faithful.

The monoidal unit being g -proper with invertible g -proper dual implies that the adjunction g^*g_* is comonadic. A calculation using the projection formula shows that we have an isomorphism of comonads:

$$g^*g_*(-) \simeq g^*g_*(\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U]) \star -$$

where \star is the convolution product. This implies

$$\mathcal{D}(*/\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^\dagger) \simeq \text{coMod}_{g^*g_*\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U]} \mathcal{D}(*/\widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}).$$

As g^* is fully-faithful, the coalgebra

$$g^*g_*(\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U])$$

is automatically idempotent. Having the structure of a comodule over an idempotent coalgebra is equivalent to killing $\text{fib}(g^*g_*(\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U])$. We know $\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[U] = h_!\mathcal{O}[1]$ whence $g^*g_*h_!\mathcal{O}[1] \simeq g^*q_*\mathcal{O}[-1]$ corresponds to the regular representation of $\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^\dagger$ viewed as a mere $\widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}}$ -representation and shifted into cohomological degree 1.

The cone now becomes an extension between the module of germs of holomorphic functions $\{f \in \mathbb{C}[[T]] \mid f \text{ converges on a small disk}\}$, where U acts by differentiation and $\mathbb{C}[T^\pm]/\mathbb{C}[T]$, where U also acts by differentiation. It is the module

$$\{f \in \mathbb{C}((T)) \mid f \text{ converges on a small punctured disk}\}$$

U acts invertibly on this and we can write $T^n = n!U^{-n}(1)$. A power series $\sum a_n T^n$ converges on a small punctured disk if and only if there exists $r > 0$ such that $|a_n|r^n \rightarrow 0$. Writing the module in the natural basis U^n , this gives

$$\left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n U^n \in \mathbb{C}((U^{-1})) \mid \exists r > 0 \text{ such that } |a_n| \frac{r^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0 \right\}.$$

□

²⁴The proper dual of $h_!\mathcal{O}[1]$ is itself, so we may apply the proper dual functor and compute.

As mentioned last time, the map $j : \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{an}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}$ is an open immersion i.e. we have a fully faithful embedding

$$j_! : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{an}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[T])$$

whose image is given by those modules killed by the idempotent algebra of those power series

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n T^n \in \mathbb{C}((T^{-1}))$$

that converge on a small punctured disk around ∞ . Together these results assemble to the fact that the pullback functor

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}, \text{dR}}^{\text{an}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^{\text{dR}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}[\partial, T]_{\text{ass}} / (\partial T - T\partial - 1))$$

is fully faithful.

Ignoring the fact the Weil algebra is non-commutative, one can imagine this as the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on an open subset of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}_{\text{gas}}}^2$ where in both directions $T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\partial \rightarrow \infty$, we get the growth condition that are explicitly described idempotent algebras of functions near ∞ must be killed.

Remark 31. *For a general complex manifold, this theorem generalises. Denote by $g_X : X_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow X_{\text{dR}}^{\text{an}}$ the canonical map. Then the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{dR}}}$ is g_X -proper with g_X -proper dual $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{dR}}}[-2d_X]$, where d_X is the complex dimension of X and the functor*

$$g_X^* : \mathcal{D}(X_{\text{dR}}^{\text{an}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X_{\text{dR}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}_{D_X})$$

is fully faithful.

3 Analytic Riemann-Hilbert

Theorem 32. *(Analytic Riemann-Hilbert) The morphism $X \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})_{\text{Betti}}$ factors over $X_{\text{dR}}^{\text{an}}$ and the induced map $X_{\text{dR}}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})_{\text{Betti}}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. This is essentially per definition. We need to compare the equivalence relation $X \times_{X(\mathbb{C})_{\text{Betti}}} X \rightarrow X \times X$ with $\Delta(X)^\dagger$. This follows from the general fact, that for $Z \subset X$,

$$(Z \times X)^\dagger = X \times_{X_{\text{Betti}}} Z_{\text{Betti}}$$

which follows from definition as

$$Z_{\text{Betti}} = \varprojlim_{Z \subset U} U_{\text{Betti}}.$$

□

3.1 Relation to usual Riemann-Hilbert

The classical Riemann-Hilbert correspondence can now be explained by exhibiting a partial inverse functor to g^* :

Theorem 33. *On the subcategory of regular holonomic D -modules*

$$\mathcal{D}^{\text{rh}}(X_{\text{dR}}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}_{D_X})$$

the functor g_ is fully faithful and the induced functor*

$$\mathcal{D}^{\text{rh}}(X_{\text{dR}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(X_{\text{dR}}^{\text{an}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(X(\mathbb{C}))$$

has image given by complexes with Zariski-constructible cohomology. Moreover, the functor $g_[d_X]^{25}$ is t -exact for the usual t -structure on the left and the perverse t -structure on the right.*

²⁵This is the middle degree between g_* and $g_! = g_*[2d_X]$.