

# AN INTRODUCTION TO THE P-ADIC LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE

(Oxford, Aug. - Sept. 2016)

## LECTURE I : INTRODUCTION

↑ fixed prime

Let  $G = GL_2$  (alg. group over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). For each compact open subgroup  $K_f \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ ,

consider the Riemann surface  $Y(K_f) := G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) / \mathbb{C}^\times K_f$

$L =$  finite ext of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  (field of coeff).

If  $W$  is an irreducible algebraic  $L$ -rep of  $G$ , consider

$$H_W := \varinjlim_{K_f} H^1(Y(K_f), W)$$

$\uparrow$  Betti  $\uparrow$  seen as a local system on  $Y(K_f)$

$G(\mathbb{A}_f)$  acts on the right on the tower of modular curves, hence it acts on  $H_W$ .

If  $K_f$  is a c.o.s of  $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ ,  $(H_W)^{K_f} = H^1(Y(K_f), W)$  (apply Hochschild-Serre; does not work anymore with char  $p$  coeff.!)  $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$  fin dim

$\Rightarrow$  the action of  $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$  is smooth & admissible.

The Riemann surface has an algebraic model defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , for each  $K_f$ .

So if we look instead at étale cohomology of  $Y(K_f)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ , we get a space (call it  $H_W$  again):  $H_W$  with two commuting actions of  $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$  (smooth adm act) &  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ .

We will only be interested by what happens "at  $p$ ". So define:

$$H_W(K^!) = \varinjlim_{K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} H^1(Y(K_p K^!), W)$$

↑ fixed cos. of  $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$

This a rep of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . What does it look like?

Let  $f$  be a modular form, cuspidal of wt  $k \geq 2$ . Recall that a Galois rep

$\rho: \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(L)$  is said to be "attached to  $f$ " if for all  $l \times N$ ,  $\rho$  is unram at  $l$  &  $\text{tr}(\rho(\text{Frob}_l)) = a_l$ , where  $\sum a_n q^n$  is the  $q$ -der of  $f$ .

let  $W_k = \text{Sym}^{k-2}(L^2)$ ,  $H_k := H_{W_k}$ .

Th (Eichler-Shimura, Langlands, Deligne, Cassels)

I.1 let  $\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL(L)$  abs irred  $\mathbb{C}$ -rep. let  $k \geq 2$ .

(i)  $\text{Hom}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}(L, H_k)$  is  $\neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho$  is attached to a modular cusp form of wt  $k$ .

(ii) In this case,  $\exists$  irred smooth rep  $\pi_p(\rho)$  of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  over  $L$  st:

$$\text{Hom}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}(L, H_k(K^f)) = \pi_p(\rho) \otimes m(K^f)$$

for some  $\neq 0$  integer  $m(K^f)$ ,  $\forall K^f$  small enough.

(iii)  $\pi_p(\rho)$  depends only on  $\rho_p := \rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ .

So we can call  $\pi_p(\rho) = \pi_p(\rho_p)$ .

( $R_k$ ,  $\pi_p(\rho_p)$  is the  $p$ -component of the out. rep attached to  $f$  (initially twisted, not the unitary one), but we will not need this.)

Let  $\rho$  be as in the previous thm. What kind of info does  $\pi_p(\rho_p)$  contain? Can we recover  $\rho_p$  from  $\pi_p(\rho_p)$ ? The answer is no. To give a precise statement, we first need some reminder on  $p$ -adic HT.

Brief reminder on  $p$ -adic HT: (ref: Berger, )

$\rho_p$  as before is a  $p$ -adic rep of the Galois group of the  $p$ -adic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . These reps are much more complicated objects than  $l$ -adic reps of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -field, where  $l \neq p$ . Fontaine understood how to isolate some interesting reps, using period rings. (For simplicity,  $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$ )

let  $C =$  completion of an alg closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

$$\tilde{E}^+ = \mathcal{O}_C^b = \varprojlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} \mathcal{O}_C \simeq \varprojlim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{O}_C/p \quad \text{perfect local ring of char } p.$$

$$\tilde{A}^+ = W(\tilde{E}^+), \quad \tilde{B}^+ = \tilde{A}^+ \left[ \frac{1}{p} \right] = \left\{ \sum_{k \geq -\infty} p^k [x_k], x_k \in \tilde{E}^+ \right\}$$

$$\text{Map } \theta: \tilde{B}^+ \rightarrow C, \quad \sum p^k [x_k] \mapsto \sum p^k x_k^{(\cdot)}$$

$B_{dR}^+$  is defined as the completion of  $\tilde{B}^+$  for the  $\ker(\theta)$ -adic top.

$$B_{dR}^+ := \varprojlim_n \tilde{B}^+ / (\ker \theta)^n.$$

let  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon^{(0)}, \varepsilon^{(1)}, \dots) \in \tilde{\mathbb{F}}^+$  be a compatible system of  $p^{\text{th}}$ -roots of 1  
 ( $\varepsilon^{(0)} = 1, \varepsilon^{(1)} \neq 1$ )

$1 - [\varepsilon] \in \tilde{\mathbb{B}}^+ \quad \& \quad \theta(1 - [\varepsilon]) = 0.$

Hence the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - [\varepsilon])^n$  converges in  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$  to an element  $t$  ("Zeta of Fontaine"). ("t = log([\varepsilon])")

If  $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ ,  $g(t) = \log([\varepsilon^{g(g)}]) = \chi(g) t.$

$B_{\text{dR}} := B_{\text{dR}}^+ \left[ \frac{1}{t} \right].$  cycl. character.

It's a field,  $B_{\text{dR}}^+$  is a complete DVR with unif. t.

filtration on  $B_{\text{dR}}^+$ :  $\text{Fil}^i(B_{\text{dR}}^+) = t^i B_{\text{dR}}^+, i \in \mathbb{Z}.$

+ Galois action st  $B_{\text{dR}}^{G_K} = K$ , for any  $p$ -adic field  $K$ .

Hence if  $V$  is a  $p$ -adic rep,  $D_{\text{dR}}(V) := (B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$  diag. action  
 is a filtered  $K$ -vs. One always has

$\dim_K D_{\text{dR}}(V) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$  & say

Def I.2  $V$  is a de Rham rep if  $\dim_K D_{\text{dR}}(V) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V).$

HT w/o = opposites of the jumps of the filtration on  $D_{\text{dR}}(V)$

Thm I.3 (Fontaine-Messing, Faltings)

let  $X/K$  proper smooth variety.  $\forall i \geq 0, H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  is a  $p$ -adic de Rham rep of  $G_K$ , &  $D_{\text{dR}}(H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)) \cong H_{\text{dR}}^i(X/K)$   
 as filtered  $K$ -vs Hodge filtration

This gives many interesting examples of de Rham reps!

(In the other direction, one has the famous

Conj (Fontaine-Mazur) let  $E$  be a number field,  $\rho$  a  $p$ -adic rep of  $G_E$ . Assume  $\rho$  is irr. a.e. & de Rham at places above  $p$ . Then  $\rho$  "comes from geometry" (arises as a subquot of a suitable Tate twist of the étale coh of some proper smooth var /  $E$ ) / is modular)

Unfortunately, one cannot recover  $V$  with its Galois action from  $D_{\text{dR}}(V)$ .

To do so, one has to restrict to a smaller class of  $p$ -adic reps & introduce other

- $B_{\text{cris}}$  comes with a Galois action ( $B_{\text{cris}}^{\times} = K_0$ ), and a Frobenius  $\varphi$  injective  $\sigma$ -semi-linear

& an injective map:  $B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{K_0} K \rightarrow B_{\text{dR}}$ .

Define  $D_{\text{cris}}(V) = (B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{\mathbb{G}_K}$ .

& crystalline reps as before.

$D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  is a finite diml  $K_0$ -vs with: (i) a bijective Frobenius  $\varphi$   $\sigma$ -semi-linear, (ii) an exhaustive and separated  $K$ -linear filtration on  $D_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes_{K_0} K \hookrightarrow D_{\text{dR}}(V)$  ( $\simeq$  if crystalline).  
= it's a filtered  $\varphi$ -module.

So far, no relation between Frobenius & filtration.

Hodge polygon

Newton polygon

say  $D$  (= filtered  $\varphi$ -module) is admissible if  $\forall D' \subset D$  subobject  
Hodge pol ( $D'$ ) lies below Newton pol ( $D'$ ) + equality for  $D'=D$ .

For any  $V$ , crystalline!  $D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  is admissible.

- $B_{\text{st}}$ : \* Galois action st.  $B_{\text{st}}^{\mathbb{G}_K} = K_0$ .

U! \* injective  $\sigma$ -semi-linear Frobenius  $\varphi$  ext. the one on  $B_{\text{cris}}$

$B_{\text{cris}}$  \*  $K_0$ -linear derivation  $N: B_{\text{st}} \rightarrow B_{\text{st}}$ , st  $N\varphi = p\varphi N$ .

st.  $B_{\text{cris}} = B_{\text{st}}^{N=0}$  (here  $N$  is  $B_{\text{cris}}$ -linear!)

$B_{\text{st}}$  obtained by adding to  $B_{\text{cris}}$  \* injective (integral) map  $K \otimes_{K_0} B_{\text{st}} \rightarrow B_{\text{dR}}$ .  $K \otimes_{B_{\text{st}}} B_{\text{dR}}$  have to make a choice of  $\log(p)$

$D_{\text{st}}(V) := (B_{\text{st}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{\mathbb{G}_K}$  is a filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -module, which is admissible (same def as before, but beware that  $D'$  is required to be

$N$ -stable!) if  $V$  is semi-stable. cryst  $\subset$  ss  $\subset$  de Rham

Ex: The functor  $D_{\text{cris}}$  is an equivalence between the cat of crystalline characters of  $\mathbb{G}_K$  & 1-diml admissible  $(\varphi, N)$ -modules over  $K$ . The crystalline char are Tate twists of the  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ -valued unramified characters of  $\mathbb{G}_K$ .

In general one has the deep result:

Th I.4 (Colmez-Fontaine) The functors

$$\text{Dcris} : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{cryst rep} \\ \text{of } G_K \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{admissible}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{filtered } \varphi\text{-mod over } K \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Dst} : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{ss reps} \\ \text{of } G_K \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{admissible}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{admissible filtered} \\ (\varphi, N)\text{-modules over } K \end{array} \right\}$$

are equivalences of categories.

Hence, crystalline & ss-reps can be described entirely by ~~the~~ semi-linear objects!  
Ex of cryst/ss rep : geometry.

Th I.5 (Berger) The de Rham reps of  $G_K$  are exactly the potentially semi-stable reps of  $G_K$  (rep which become semi-stable over a certain finite ext<sup>k</sup> of  $K$ ).

Hence, to give a de Rham rep of  $G_K$ , suffices to give an admissible filtered  $(\varphi, N, G_{K'})$ -module, namely  $D_{\text{pst}}(V) = \bigcup_{[k':K] < \infty} D_{\text{st}}(V|_{G_{K'}})$ .  
 finite dim  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vs, semi-linear by Frob  $\varphi$ , linear  $N$ ,  $N\varphi = p\varphi N$

filtered = exhaustive decreasing filtration on  $D_{\text{dR}}(V) = (D_{\text{pst}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})^{G_K}$  consisting with  $N, \varphi$ .  
 admissible.  $\forall$  subobject, etc.

$\forall$  de Rham rep. The filtered  $(\varphi, N, G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ -module can be turned into a Weil-Deligne rep by linearizing the action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ :  $g$  acts as  $g \cdot \varphi^{-\text{deg}(g)}$ . (Recall that a WD-rep is  $(r, N)$ ,  $r$  ~~is~~ rep of  $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  + monodromy  $N$  as before) Call it WD( $D_{\text{pst}}(V)$ ) co for the discrete top

LLC :  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(admissible) irred} \\ \text{smooth reps of} \\ G_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{LL}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Frob semi-simple 2-dim l} \\ \text{WD-reps} \end{array} \right\} / \sim$   
 $(G = GL_2)$

Rk: Let  $V$  de Rham. Then

$V$  becomes ss over an abelian ext of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$   $\Leftrightarrow$   $\text{WD}(D_{\text{pst}}(V))$  is reducible  $\Leftrightarrow$   $\text{LL}(\text{WD}(D_{\text{pst}}(V)))$  is not supersingular

After this long digression, we can finally answer our original question:

Th I.6 (Saito) We have:

$$\pi_p(\rho_p) = LL(WD(D_{pst}(\rho_p)))$$

In particular,  $\pi_p(\rho_p)$  only depends on  $D_{pst}(V)$  viewed as a  $(\varphi, N, \mathbb{G}_m)$  module.

Forget two things: the HT weights & the filtration (actually HT wts = - jumps of the filtrat). There is thus no chance to recover  $\rho_p$  from  $\pi_p(\rho_p)$ !

Breuil had the following amazing idea, which was the starting pt of the  $p$ -adic LL program.

to each admissible filtration on the  $(\varphi, N, \mathbb{G}_m)$ -module  $D_{pst}(\rho_p)$  should correspond a suitable completion of the locally alg rep:

$$\pi_{alg}(\rho_p) = \underbrace{(\text{Sym}^{k-2}(L^2))}_{alg}^* \otimes \underbrace{\pi_p(\rho_p)}_{smooth}$$

From now on, assume for simplicity  $\rho_p$  to be absolutely irreducible.

To make precise Breuil's idea, one first has to say to which kind of reps we want to look at.

Def I.7 A unitary Banach space rep of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is an  $L$ -Banach space  $\Pi$  with a  $(\cdot)$  action of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  inducing its topology which is  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant ( $\|gv\| = \|v\| \forall g \in G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ).

Def I.8: let  $\Pi$  unitary Banach rep,  $\|\cdot\|$  as before,

$$\textcircled{H} := \{v \in \Pi, \|v\| \leq 1\} \text{ its unit ball.}$$

$\textcircled{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_L} k_L$  is a  $k_L$ -rep which is smooth (because  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -act is  $(\cdot)$ )

Say  $\Pi$  is admissible if this  $k_L$ -rep is admissible in the usual sense ( $\forall H \leq G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  compact-open,  $\dim(\textcircled{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_L} k_L)^H < \infty$ ).

let  $\text{Ban}(G)$  be the category of unitary admissible Banach reps of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

Schneider-Tittelbaum:  $\Pi$  is admissible iff  $\Pi'$  is finitely generated as a module over  $L[G(\mathbb{Z}_p)] = \underbrace{O_L[G(\mathbb{Z}_p)]}_{\text{Methenion (Lazard)}} \otimes_{O_L} L$ . Hence  $\text{Ban}(G)$  is an abelian category.

There is a simple way to associate to any rep. such as  $\pi^{\text{alg}}(\mathfrak{g}_p)$  a unitary Banach rep of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , called its universal unitary completion, and this is what I'll now explain.

Def 1.9.  $V$  locally convex top  $L$ -vs, with a  $C^0$   $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -action.

$U$  unitary Banach rep of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Say that a given  $C^0$  linear map  $V \rightarrow U$  realizes  $U$  as a universal unitary completion of  $V$   $G$ -eq

if  $\text{Hom}_{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{C^0}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(U, W) \quad \forall$  unitary Banach  $W$ .

( $U$  is unique up to unique iso), call it  $\hat{V}$ .

(Prop 1.10)  $V$  admits a unitary universal completion iff the set of commensurable classes of  $G$ -inv open lattice in  $V$  has a minimal element.  
(Pf: if  $\hat{V}$  exists, take the preimage of the open unit ball of  $\hat{V}$ , ... in the other direction, complete wrt to the gauge of the lattice)

This construction is simple & might look fairly nice, but in practice it turns out to be really bad.

- $\hat{V}$  does not always exist.
- $\hat{V}$  can be zero.
- $\hat{V}$  can be (not admissible for example).  
huge

Ex: Assume  $V$  to be top inv. If  $\hat{V}$  exists and  $\hat{V} \neq 0$ , the map  $V \hookrightarrow \hat{V}$  has dense image so it  $\neq 0$ , and hence must be injective. Pulling back a  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -inv norm on  $\hat{V}$  to  $V$ , we see that  $V$  admits a  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -inv norm. It implies that the central character of  $V$  must be unitary.

Here is a criterion for  $\hat{V}$  to exist:

Prop I.10. (iii)

We can apply this to show that uuc always exist for fin-gen  $G$ -rep equipped with their finest loc. convex top.:

Prop I.11:  $V$  finitely generated  $G$ -rep with its finest loc. conv

top. The completion of  $V$  wrt to any finite type lattice of  $V (= G(\mathcal{O}_p)$ -inv lattice which is finitely generated as an  $\mathcal{O}_L[G]$ -module) is a uuc of  $V$ .

(Pf: Any lattice in  $V$  is open (finest loc. conv. top) & any  $G$ -inv lattice in  $V$  contains a finite type sublattice (since  $V$  is fin-gen).

Then apply prop I.10.)

Thus in our case,  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\mathfrak{g}_p)$  always exists. But it can very well be 0!

(if  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is a gen-family,  $\sum \mathcal{O}_L[G(\mathcal{O}_p)]v_i = \mathbb{Z}$  can contain a line).

Also, it can be very huge. So this local pseudo-solution does not lead anywhere... except in the particular case of crystalline reps, as we will see in the next lectures.

A global solution for global representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } \hat{H}(K^p) &= (\text{p-adic completion of } \varinjlim_{K_p} H^1(Y(K^p K_p), \mathcal{O}_L)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L \\ &= (\text{same as } \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{K_p} H^1(Y(K_p K^p), \mathcal{O}_L / \pi_L^n)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L. \end{aligned}$$

Endow it with the top which makes  $\varinjlim_{K_p} H^1(Y(K_p K^p), \mathcal{O}_L)$  the unit ball.

It's a unitary Banach rep.

Th I.12 (Emerton, Ohta)  $\hat{H}(K^p) \in \text{Ban}(G(\mathcal{O}_p))$ .

Th I.13:  $\exists G_{\mathbb{Q}} \times G(\mathcal{O}_p)$ -eq embedding  $\bigoplus_W W^* \otimes H_W(K^p) \hookrightarrow \hat{H}(K^p)$

(The direct sum is above all irred  $k$ -alg reps of  $G$ )

(This then is an incarnation of the fact that there exist many congruences between  $w_k$  &  $w_2$  modular forms if one allows the level at  $p$  to increase. Technically, one shows:  $\hat{H}_W(K) \simeq \hat{H}(K^p) \otimes W$ , & the proof is very simple:  $\forall n \geq 1$ ,  $H^2(Y(K_p K^p), W_p^n) \simeq H^1(Y(K_p K^p), W_p^n) \otimes W$  for  $K_p$  small enough (s.t.  $K_p$  acts trivial on  $W_0/p^n$ )

Let's go back to our  $\rho$  attached to a

modular form. Thm I.1 gives an embedding of  $G(\mathcal{O}_p)$ -repr:

$$\pi_p(\rho_p) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{G(\mathcal{O}_p)}(\rho, H_k(K^p)) \quad \text{for } K^p \text{ small enough.}$$

Hence by I.13, get an embedding of  $G(\mathcal{O}_p)$ -repr:

$$\pi^{\text{alg}}(\rho_p) \xrightarrow{(*)} \text{Hom}_{G(\mathcal{O}_p)}(\rho, \hat{H}(K^p)) \hookrightarrow \text{Ban}(G) \quad (\text{I.12} + \text{closed by sub})$$

By pull back, get a  $G(\mathcal{O}_p)$ -stable lattice in  $\pi^{\text{alg}}(\rho_p)$ .

Complete w.r.t this lattice ( $\Leftrightarrow$ , take the closure of the image of  $(*)$ )

Yields an interesting rep of  $G(\mathcal{O}_p)$ , unitary admissible.

**BUT:** it's really hard to say anything directly about this rep! Moreover, & more importantly, what to do for repr of  $G_{\mathcal{O}_p}$  which are not restrictions of global modular rep? (There are many of them, even *non de Rham*!).

We would like to have a purely local recipe, associating to ANY  $p$ -adic 2-diml rep of  $G_{\mathcal{O}_p}$  a rep in  $\text{Ban}(G)$ , which allows to recover the original Galois rep. The miracle of  $p$ -adic LL for  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_p)$  is that such a thing exists & that's what I'll try to explain in the next lectures: Colmez constructed a functor:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pi \in \text{Ban}(G) \\ \text{of finite length} \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite diml} \\ \rho\text{-rep of } G_{\mathcal{O}_p} \end{array} \right\}$$

inducing a bijection:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{abr. irred} \\ \text{non ordinary} \end{array} \right\} \pi \in \text{Ban}(G) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pi \mapsto V(\pi) \\ \pi(V) \hookrightarrow V \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{2-diml abr irred} \\ \text{cont's } L\text{-rep of } G_{\mathcal{O}_p} \end{array} \right\}$$

s.t. if  $\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(L)$  is modular as before,

$$\text{Hom}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\rho, \hat{H}(K^p)) \simeq \Pi(\rho, \mathfrak{m}(K^p))$$

Note that the correspondence works even for non de Rham rep. (very useful) with the same  $\mathfrak{m}(K^p)$  as in I.1.

The goal of this course will be to give an idea of the way these constructions work, focusing on the case of de Rham Galois representations).