

LECTURE VI: LOCALLY ANALYTIC / ALGEBRAIC VECTORS IN THE p -ADIC LLC

Let $\Pi \in \text{Ban}(G)$. Can define two subspaces of Π :

- $\Pi^{\text{an}} = \{ v \in \Pi, g \mapsto gv \text{ locally analytic} \}$
- $\Pi^{\text{alg}} = \{ v \in \Pi, g \mapsto gv \text{ locally polynomial} \}$

The goal of this lecture is to describe these two subspaces and their significance in the p -adic LLC, for $\Pi \in \text{Ban}(G)$, absolute or non ordinary.

Locally analytic vectors.

Th VI.1 $\Pi^{\text{an}} \subset \Pi$ is dense if $\Pi \in \text{Ban}(G)$

(Schneider-Teitelbaum)

The natural topology on Π^{an} is not the topology induced from that of Π but rather the subspace topology w.r.t. the G -eq embedding: (stronger) the one

$$\Pi^{\text{an}} \subset \text{LA}(G, V) \quad v \mapsto (g \mapsto g.v)$$

One checks that Π^{an} is a closed subspace of $\text{LA}(G, V)$, hence a space of compact type (locally convex TVS, which can be written as an inductive limit of Banach with injective compact transition maps). * Rk: Π^{an} need not be imbeddable if Π is not of f.length \Rightarrow Π^{an} of f.l. (Colmez 2015)

The following result shows that one does not lose any information by restricting to Π^{an} :

Th V.2 (Colmez-Dospinescu) For any $\Pi \in \text{Ban}(G)^{\text{fl}}$, admitting a central character (e.g. $\Pi \in \text{Ban}(G)$ ~~abs~~ ~~ined~~), Π^* is the UUC of Π^{an} .

This looks like a purely representation theoretic statement, but the proof uses p -adic LLC! The key ingredient is to describe Π^{an} in terms of $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathfrak{p})$ -modules, as was done for Π in Lect IV. More precisely, one has:

Th VI.3 (Colmez, Dospinescu) Take (D, δ) G -compatible. Set $\Pi = \Pi_f(D)$, $\tilde{\Pi} = \Pi_f(\tilde{D})$.

There exists a G -eq sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, denoted $U \mapsto D_{\text{rig}} \boxtimes U$,

$$\text{st : } D_{\text{rig}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p = D_{\text{rig}}$$

& $D_{\text{rig}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{P}'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ fits in an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (\Pi^{\text{an}})^* \rightarrow D_{\text{rig}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{P}' \rightarrow \Pi^{\text{an}} \rightarrow 0.$$

($D_{\text{rig}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{P}'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ can be defined as before, once one has shown that $W_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in $(D^{[0,r]})^{\Psi=0}$ extends uniquely to a continuous involution of $(D^{[0,r]})^{\Psi=0}$ for $r > 0$ small enough.)

As Π^{an} is a locally an. rep of G on a space of compact, $(\Pi^{\text{an}})^*$ is a module over $\mathcal{D}(K)$.

Actually, $(\Pi^{\text{an}})^* \simeq \Pi^{\text{an}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} \mathcal{D}(K)$.

For $m \geq 2$, let $K_m = 1 + p^m M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, a p -adic compact Lie group.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(K_m) &= \text{distribution algebra of } K_m \\ &= LA(K_m, L)^* \end{aligned}$$

$U(gl_2)$ = enveloping algebra of gl_2 .

$$\subseteq \mathcal{D}(K_m) \quad (\text{see elements of } U(gl_2) \text{ as diff operators on } LA(K_m, L) \text{ & evaluate at 1}).$$

Prop: The action of G makes

$D_{\text{rig}} \otimes \mathbb{P}'$ a module over $\mathcal{D}(K_m)$. If $H \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ compact open subgroup stabilizes $U \subset \mathbb{P}'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ compact open, $D_{\text{rig}} \otimes U$ is stable by $\mathcal{D}(H)$.

→ Induces an action of gl_2 on $D_{\text{rig}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = D_{\text{rig}}$.

$$\text{Let } h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, u^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, u^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then V.4 (Dospinescu)

V 2-dim alcove rep, with HT wts a, b , so that $P_{\text{sen}, V}(\lambda) = (\lambda-a)(\lambda-b)$.

Then the action of gl_2 on D_{rig} is given by: $\forall z \in D_{\text{rig}}$,

$$I_2 \cdot z = (a+b-1)z \quad h \cdot z = 2\nabla(z) - (a+b-1)z$$

$$u^+ \cdot z = t z \quad u^- \cdot z = - \frac{P_{\text{sen}, V}(\nabla)(z)}{t}$$

where $\nabla = \lim_{a \rightarrow 1} \frac{\sigma_a - 1}{a - 1}$ is the infinitesimal action of Π and $t = \log(1+T) \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Cor V.5 : The Casimir element $C = u^+u^- + u^-u^+ + \frac{1}{2} h^2 \in \mathbb{Z}(U(g_{\mathbb{R}}))$

acts on D_{rig} as multiplication by $\frac{(a-b)^2 - 1}{2}$.

Combining Cor V.5 & Thm IV.8, we get:

Cor V.6 : Let $\Pi \in \text{Ban}(G)$ abs. irred. Then Π^{an} admits an infinitesimal character.

Rk: (a) Even if Π is abs. irred, Π^{an} need not be abs irr, so this result cannot be deduced from Dospinescu-Schraen, for example.

(b) If $a, b \in L$, the space of rep V with generalized HT wts a, b has $\dim 3$. The Banach rep associated to these rep all have the same inf character, by Cor V.5, but are not isomorphic. Hence there exist infinitely many $\Pi \in \text{Ban}(G)$ abs irred with the same inf character, non isomorphic. This is in contrast with the theory of unitary reps of real semi-simple groups.

Pf of TH V.4 : C commutes with the adjoint action of G hence C viewed as an operator on D_{rig} commutes with ρ, τ , and multiplication by $1 + T$.

Hence $C(f(T)z) = f(T)C(z) \quad \forall z \in D_{\text{rig}}, f \in L[T]$. But $L[T]$ is dense in R , hence $C \in \text{End}_{G, \tau, R}(D_{\text{rig}}) = \text{End}_{L[G_{\text{op}}]}(V) = L$.

But the formulas for u^+, h (easy) give:

$$C = 2t u^- + 2 P_{S_{\text{an}}, V}(\nabla) + \frac{(a-b)^2 - 1}{2}$$

hence $C - \frac{(a-b)^2 - 1}{2}$ sends D_{rig} to $t D_{\text{rig}}$ because $P_{S_{\text{an}}, V}(\nabla)$ does.

indeed it suffices to show that $q^{-n}(P_{S_{\text{an}}, V}(\nabla)(z)) \in t D_{\text{dif}, n}^+$ $\forall z \in D_{\text{rig}}$

i.e. that $P_{S_{\text{an}}, V}(\nabla)$ sends $D_{\text{dif}, n}^+$ to $t D_{\text{dif}, n}^+$. But by definition $P_{S_{\text{an}}, V}$ is the characteristic polynomial of ∇ in $D_{S_{\text{an}}, n} = D_{\text{dif}, n}^+ / t D_{\text{dif}, n}^+$ hence it suffices to apply Cayley-Hamilton ! \square

The output is that even if the action of $G(w)$ is complicated, we can describe the action of $g_{\mathbb{R}}$!

Th V.7 (Dospinescu) Let $T(\pi) = \pi / \langle (u-1)v, v \in \pi, u \in \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$ naive Tate module

let V 2-diml abs irr. Then $T(\Pi(V)) \neq 0 \iff V$ tolonguline (Lect IV)

The \Rightarrow direction is an application of IV.4.

It's a p -adic analogue of the fact that irreducible WD rep \hookrightarrow supercuspidal

Locally algebraic vectors

(recall de Rham + triang.)

\Rightarrow (Dperf) reducible
or WD-prep

The main theorem is

Th V.7: (Colmez, Dospinescu)

V ab_p ined 2 dim'l. $\Pi(V)$ alg $\neq 0 \Leftrightarrow V$ de Rham with HT wtr distinct.

In this case, if $a < b$ are the HT wtr,

$$\Pi(V)^{\text{alg}} = \text{LL}(\text{Dperf}(V)) \otimes (\text{Sym}^{b-a-1} \otimes \det^a)$$

This shows that the p -adic LLC "encodes" the classical LLC, and it confirms Breuil's philosophy that for de Rham rep V with HT wtr \neq , $\Pi(V)$ should be a completion of the above locally alg. rep.

Sketch of the pf of the first part of Th V.7:

let Y be a B -module with central char w .

Def V.8 A Kirillov model for Y is the data of:

- \bar{Y} a $L_\infty[[t]]$ -module with an action of $\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$
- a B -eq injection $Y \hookrightarrow \underbrace{\text{LA}_{rc}(\mathbb{Q}_p^*, \bar{Y})^\Gamma}_{\{\phi, \psi: \mathbb{Q}_p^* \rightarrow \bar{Y} \text{ loc an, with compact support in } \mathbb{Q}_p^*, \forall x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^* \text{ s.t. } \sigma_a(\phi/x) = \phi(ax)\}}$

with B -action:

$$Y_C := \text{inverse image of } \text{LA}_c(\mathbb{Q}_p^*, \bar{Y}). ((\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \phi)(x) = w(d) [e^{bx/d}] \phi(\frac{ax}{d})).$$

($1+t = [e]$).

Ex: (a) If Y is a smooth rep, take $\bar{Y} = L_\infty$ (viewed as $L_\infty[[t]]/t$).
with its action of Γ . This gives the usual Kirillov model of smooth B -repr
(note that the action of Γ on L_∞ is smooth, hence $\text{LA}_{rc}(-) = \text{LC}_c(-)$)

& $Y/Y_C = \text{Jacquet module}$.

(b) If $Y = Y' \otimes \text{Sym}^{k-1}$, Y' smooth, we take $L_\infty[[t]]/t^k$
(space of Loc poly. functions of $\deg \leq k-1$).

let $\Pi^{ut\text{-fin}} = \{v \in \Pi^{\text{an}}, \exists k > 0 \quad (u^k) \cdot v = 0\}$

It's a sub B -module of Π^{an} .

Let \tilde{v} be a lifting of v in $D_{\text{rig}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{P}'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

$$v \in \Pi^{ut\text{-fin}} \Rightarrow \exists N, k \in \mathbb{N} \quad ((1 \underset{1}{\underset{0}{\overset{N}{\wedge}}} - 1)^k \cdot \tilde{v}) \in (\Pi^{\text{an}})^*$$

The image of $(\tilde{\Pi}^{\text{an}})^*$ by $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ live in $D^{[j_0, r(D)]}$ ($r(D)$ = radius of convergence of D): indeed, $(\tilde{\Pi}^{\text{an}})^*$ is a Fréchet, and $D_{\text{rig}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{P}'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

$$= \varprojlim_r D^{[j_0, r]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{P}'(\mathbb{Q}_p), \text{ so its image lands in } D^{[j_0, r]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{P}'(\mathbb{Q}_p), \text{ some } r.$$

Fréchet Moreover ψ is surjective on this image, as $(\overset{P}{\underset{1}{\wedge}})$ is on $(\tilde{\Pi}^{\text{an}})^*$.

$$\text{If } z \in (\tilde{\Pi}^{\text{an}})^*, (\overset{P}{\underset{1}{\wedge}})^a z \in (\tilde{\Pi}^{\text{an}})^* \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}^*, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} ((\overset{P}{\underset{1}{\wedge}})^a z) \in D^{[j_0, r(D)]} \quad \forall a, j.$$

Set for $n \geq m(D)$,

$$L_n (\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (\overset{P}{\underset{1}{\wedge}})^i \tilde{v}) = \frac{1}{\varphi^{n+j-n} (\tau_a(T))^k} L_n (\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} ((\overset{P}{\underset{1}{\wedge}})^a ((1 \underset{1}{\underset{0}{\overset{N}{\wedge}}} - 1)^k \cdot \tilde{v})) \in t^{-k} D_{\text{dif}}^+$$

(because $\varphi^q(T) \mid t$ in B_{dk}^+ , $\forall q$)

One checks that the LHS does not depend on the choice of \tilde{v} , nor on $n \geq m(D)$ after projection in D_{dif}^+ . For $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*$, define $\phi_v(x)$ to be the image of $L_n (\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (\overset{P}{\underset{1}{\wedge}})^a \tilde{v})$ in D_{dif}^+ : it does not depend on \tilde{v} , nor on $n \geq m(D)$.

Prop V.9 (continuation) (i) $v \mapsto \phi_v \in \text{LA}_m(\mathbb{Q}_p^*, D_{\text{dif}}^+)^r$ is a Kirillov model for $\Pi^{ut\text{-fin}}$.

(ii) $U(g)$ stabilizes $\Pi^{ut\text{-fin}}$ and if $\lambda \in U(g)$,

$$\phi_{x \cdot v}(x) = (\overset{x}{\underset{1}{\wedge}}) \lambda (\overset{x^{-1}}{\underset{1}{\wedge}}) \cdot \phi_v(x)$$

The proof of (ii) uses Th V.4 and is a direct computation.

Now, for Π^{alg} to be $\neq 0$, the central char has to be loc.alg, i.e $a+b$ must be an integer. If no, $v \in \Pi^{\text{an}}$ is in Π^{alg} iff v is $U(\mathfrak{l}_2)$ -finite. Such a vector v is in particular in $\Pi^{ut\text{-fin}}$.

If $\lambda \in U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, $\phi_{\lambda v}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda \begin{pmatrix} x^{-1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \phi_v(x)$ (Prop IV-9)

So, if v is killed by a finite codimension ideal I of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, so is $\phi_v(x)$ (killed by $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} I \begin{pmatrix} x^{-1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$): in other words, ϕ_v takes values in $(D_{\text{dif}}^-)^{U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\text{-fin}}$.

What does $(D_{\text{dif}}^-)^{U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\text{-fin}}$ look like? Suppose that V acts semisimply on D_{dif}^+ . Then $\exists e_1, e_2$ basis of D_{dif}^+ over $\mathbb{Q}[t^\pm]$, s.t. $V e_i = \lambda_i e_i$.
 $(\lambda_i \in \{a, b\})$

$$\text{Then } a^+(t^j e_i) = (\lambda_i + f) e_i, \quad a^-(t^j e_i) = t^{j+1} e_i$$

$$a^-(t^j e_i) = -(\lambda_i + j - a)(\lambda_i + j - b) t^{j+1} e_i$$

If $(D_{\text{dif}}^-)^{U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\text{-fin}}$ is $\neq 0$, its preimage in D_{dif} is a $\mathbb{Q}[t^\pm]$ -lattice of D_{dif} stable by $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. But the above formulas show that there is such a non-trivial ($\neq D_{\text{dif}}^+$) lattice iff $\exists j \neq 0$ s.t. $(\lambda_i + j - a)(\lambda_i + j - b) = 0$. This

happens only when $b - a \notin \mathbb{N}$ -sol (i.e. a, b distinct integers, as $a+b$ was assumed to be integer). In this case, one can check that V is de Rham with distinct HT w.r.t. Hence $(D_{\text{dif}}^-)^{U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\text{-fin}}$ is $\neq 0$ iff V is dR with distinct HT w.r.t.

So we see that if $\prod \lambda_i \neq 0$, V must be de Rham with distinct HT w.r.t. The converse is not difficult ($(D_{\text{dif}}^-)^{U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\text{-fin}}$ is first dim'l, hence the annihilator ideal I of this rep is stable by conjugation, ...). End of the sketch of pf of V.7. \square

"Application": the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture (in many cases)

Let $g: G_p \rightarrow GL_2(L)$ continuous at ∞ , odd, unr. a.e., de Rham at p with \neq HT w.r.t. + some technical hypotheses on g : irreducibility of \bar{g} , g_p . F-M says that g is geometric / fruit of a modular rep. (important)

The most natural approach to the conjecture would be by the Taylor-Wiles (-Kisin) method. But to control the deformation rings, you have to put some stronger conditions at p (nonsingularities). However Kisin was able to control the singularities of def rings enough to prove F-M using this strategy.

Emerton's strategy is totally different, and completely avoids the study of complicated def rings.

Its proof has 3 steps:

Step 1: Show that g is promodular, meaning that it's associated to some maximal ideal (not necessarily classical) of some big Hecke algebra \mathbb{T} . Very roughly, this means that g comes from a " p -adic modular form". This is very much easier than showing modularity : it boils down to show that modular points are Zariski dense in the def space of a.e. unr def of \overline{f} (no conditions at p) (indeed then $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{T}) - \mathfrak{p}R$ is closed defined by ideal I , and dense, so I is nilpotent; as R is reduced, $I = 0$, ie $\mathbb{T} \cong R$). This you can do by finding a modular point and looking at Coleman families passing thru this point.

Step 2 : With notations of Lect I, show that for g ~~ab~~^{as before +} modular.
Thm V.10 $\mathbb{T}\mathcal{B}(g_p) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}_Q}(g_p, \widehat{H}^1(K^\dagger))$ for K^\dagger small enough.

This was mentioned in Lect I for modular g . But really the important hypothesis is that g is promodular. This statement shows that p -adic LLC realizes in completed cohomology of modular curves ! Emerton's proof of this thm is highly indirect (which is not surprising, given Colmez's constructions...) but very beautiful : you first show it when g comes from a modular form f with level prime to p (in this case, it's "easy" : g_p is crystalline and we know that classical LLC realizes in the usual coh of modular curves (Th I.1)); moreover the locally alg rep has at most one equivalence class of invariant norms as we saw in Lect III, etc.).

Step 3 : Using Th V.7, and the assumption that g_p is dR with \neq HT w.r.t., get

$$\mathbb{T}(g_p)^{\text{alg}} \neq 0 \quad \xrightarrow{\text{(step 2)}} \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}_Q}(g_p, \widehat{H}^1(K^\dagger))^{\text{alg}} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}_Q}(g_p, \widehat{H}(K^\dagger))^{\text{alg}} \neq 0$$

Now, remember Thm I.13 of Lect I:

$$\bigoplus_w W^* \otimes_L H_w(K^p) \hookrightarrow \hat{H}(K^p)$$

Actually, Emerton shows a better result:

$$\bigoplus_w W^* \otimes_L H_w(K^p) \simeq \hat{H}(K^p)^{\text{alg}}$$

So we conclude with I.1 that f is modular (or directly that f is geometric).